

Figure 1. Box plots of the uncertainty scores for all 60 projects. Projects are ranked by the product of the risk and uncertainty scores (Figure 3; please see Table 1 for project names). The boxes represent the inner quartile range (e.g., 50% of the data) and the whiskers represent 10% and 90% percentiles. Two shades of gray were used to distinguish the two inner quartiles. Outliers are represented by black dots. Medians are represented by lines within each box plot, and the means are connected by the trend line. Notches provide an approximate multi-comparison test. Each pair for which the notch portions do not overlap suggests they are “statistically” different.




Figure 2. Box plots of the risk scores for all 60 projects. Projects are ranked by the product of the risk and uncertainty scores (Figure 3; please see Table 1 for project names). The boxes represent the inner quartile range (e.g., 50% of the data) and the whiskers represent 10% and 90% percentiles. Two shades of gray were used to distinguish the two inner quartiles. Outliers are represented by black dots. Medians are represented by lines within each box plot, and the means are connected by the trend line. Notches provide an approximate multi-comparison test. Each pair for which the notch portions do not overlap suggests they are “statistically” different.




Figure 3. Box plots of the product of the risk and uncertainty scores for all 60 projects. Projects are ranked by the product of the risk and uncertainty scores (please see Table 1 for project names). The boxes represent the inner quartile range (e.g., 50% of the data) and the whiskers represent 10% and 90% percentiles. Two shades of gray were used to distinguish the two inner quartiles. Outliers are represented by black dots. Medians are represented by lines within each box plot, and the means are connected by the trend line. Notches provide an approximate multi-comparison test. Each pair for which the notch portions do not overlap suggests they are “statistically different.”




Table 1. CMER project names for each of the ranked projects.

RANK	CMER PROJECT NAMES
1	Eastside Type N Riparian Effectiveness Project (ENREP)
2	Eastside Riparian Forest Health Strategy (Program)
3	Forested Wetlands Chronosequence Study
4	Road Prescription-Scale Effectiveness Study
5	Forested Wetlands Effectiveness Project
6	Van Dyke's Salamander
7	Deep-Seated Landslide Mapping & Classification Project
8	Coastal Tailed Frog Extensive Status Project
9	Unstable Slopes Criteria - Empirical Evaluation of Shallow Landslide Susceptibility & Runout
10	Westside Type F Experimental Buffer Treatment
11	Physical Modeling of Deep-Seated Landslides
12	DPC Assessment Project
13	Effectiveness of RMAP Fixes - merged with Road Prescription Eff.?
14	Type N Experimental Buffer Treatment Project in Hard Rock Lithologies (Hard Rock Project) - Phase III
15	AFF Validation Study
16	Roads Effects on Wetlands
17	Wetland Management Zone Effectiveness Monitoring Project
18	Unstable Slopes Criteria - Models to Identify Landforms Most Susceptible to Management
19	Groundwater Recharge Modeling Project
20	Water Temperature and Amphibian Use in Type Np Waters with Discontinuous Surface Flow Project (formerly Amphibians in Intermittent Streams Project)
21	Stream Temperature Status & Trends Monitoring
22	Wetlands Intensive Monitoring
23	Landslide Monitoring Project
24	Riparian Characteristics and Shade Response Study (RCS)
25	Eastside Type F Channel Wood Characterization
26	*Wetlands as an element of Cumulative Effects Watershed Monitoring
27	PHB Validation Study
28	Riparian Conditions Status & Trends Monitoring
29	Road Surface Erosion Model Validation/Refinement
30	Sensitive Sites and Amphibians Field Project
31	Eastside Amphibian Evaluation
32	GIS-Based Landslide Stability and Sensitivity Toolkit
33	Eastside Type Ns Effectiveness
34	Deep-Seated LS Board Manual Revisions
35	Sensitive Sites and Amphibians Data Synthesis project
36	Type F Performance Target Validation
37	*Mass Wasting as an element of Cumulative Effects Watershed Monitoring
38	Use of eDNA in Water Typing
39	Intensive Watershed Monitoring
40	Evapo-Transpiration Model Refinement

RANK	CMER PROJECT NAMES
41	Road Subbasin-Scale Effectiveness Monitoring - Sampling Event 2
42	Lidar-Based Water Typing Map
43	Pathways of Riparian Stand Development to Maturity
44	*Roads as an element of Cumulative Effects Watershed Monitoring
45	DFC Aquatic Habitat Validation
46	Recoverable/Restorable Fish Habitat
47	*Riparian Buffers as an element of Cumulative Effects Watershed Monitoring
48	DFC Site Class Map Validation
49	DFC Trajectory Model Validation
50	Windthrow Frequency, Distribution, and Effects
51	*Fish Passage as an element of Cumulative Effects Watershed Monitoring
52	Wood Recruitment Volume and Source Distances from Riparian Buffers
53	Tailed Frogs and Parent Geology
54	Roads Subbasin-Scale Status & Trends Monitoring
55	Slash in Type N Streams
56	Westside Type F Exploratory Study - Continued Remote Monitoring Add-on
57	Fish Passage Status & Trends Monitoring
58	Consistency and Accuracy of CMZ Boundary Delineations
59	Westside Type F Riparian Prescription Monitoring
60	Eastside Temperature Nomograph