## Below is a description of each of each of the pre-reading materials and their interrelations

[1]	Agenda	
[2]	Draft Objectives and Performance Measures	Working with TFW policy and CMER members, Compass has developed a set of draft decision objectives, sub-objectives and associated performance measures to capture key interests and concerns related to the Washington Forest Practice Rules and how they may or may not be impacted by the upcoming ENREP study results.  Objectives populate the rows of the consequence table [5].
[3]	Draft Means-Ends Diagrams	Working with TFW policy and CMER members, Compass has developed draft means-ends diagrams for the following objectives:  Riparian ecological function Timber industry viability  Means-ends diagrams help show the relationship between policy options (alternatives [4]) and objectives. They also help in determining key objectives and performance measures (see [2]).
[4]	Draft Strategy Table (Alternative development)	Based on our understanding of current Eastside WAC rules, Compass has developed a draft strategy table. Strategy Tables lay out categories of actions that can be taken and are useful to building alternatives—alternatives are the columns of the Consequence Table [5].
[5]	Blank Consequence Table	Over the coming months (see Workplan [6] for details) Compass will support the AMP to develop a plan for populating this consequence table ahead of the ENREP results to support decision making.  The Consequence Table will be refined by advancing Objectives & Performance measures [2] & Alternatives [see [4]).
[6]	Compass Workplan	For Reference purposes—Compass' workplan for supporting TFW policy in their upcoming decision related to the ENREP results and for supporting the AMP to incorporate SDM into their processes. The workplan lays out the steps needed to populate the consequence table [5], including developing means-ends diagrams [3], developing objectives and performance measures [2] and alternatives [4] to support decision making.