

Forest Practices Compliance Monitoring Form

Type S or F RMZ: Inner Zone Harvest (DFC Option 1) Thinning from below

FPA # _____ Date: _____ Segment ID: _____ WRIA: _____

Dominant Species: FPA _____ CM _____ Site Class: FPA _____ CM _____

Stream Size: FPA _____ CM _____

OZLT Strategy: Dispersal _____ Clumping _____ CMZ Exchange _____

NOTE: Questions have been worded such that YES = Compliant; NO = Not compliant

Code	RULE	COMPLIANT (if no, record deviation rating & category)		DEVIATION RATING (L/M/H)		COMMENTS (Additional comments may be added in the space provided at the end of this document)		
		FPA	Rule	FPA	Rule			
3-01.CB04	(1) Dominant tree species observed consistent with the DFC worksheet (222-30-021(1)(b)(ii)(B)(I))							
3-02.AA00	(2) Site Class not under-represented (222-16-010)							
3-03.CB04	(3) FPA stream width meets rule requirements as per CM field measurements (222-30-021(1)(b)(ii)(B)(I))					L/O BFW	CM BFW	
3-04.CB01	(4) No harvest in Core Zone (222-30-021(1)(a))					# stumps in zone	Avg. Dia.	
3-05.CB04	(5) No harvest in Inner Zone of any trees larger than strategy allows (222-30-021(1)(b)(ii)(B)(I))					Write dia. classes and # of trees missing. Ex. 14" – 4 would mean 4 trees missing from 14" class		
3-06.CB04	(6) At least 57 TPA left in the Inner Zone (222-30-021(1)(b)(ii)(B)(I))					# missing		
3-07.CD00	(7) If harvest planned within 75 ft. of BFW/CMZ was shade doc' included? (222-30-040)							
3-08.CA08	(8) If there is a CMZ, was it properly accounted for in RMZ layout? (222-30-020(13))							

3-09.CB06	(9) Correct number and size of OZLTs per chosen strategy (222-30-021(1)(c))					Required #	Actual #	

If not compliant, describe the contributing factors in the appropriate category in the table below.

Administrative	
Layout	
Operational	

Additional Comments or Dissenting Opinions

Signatures:

DNR Lead _____ **Date** _____

ECY _____ **Date** _____

Tribe _____ **Date** _____

_____ **Date** _____

_____ **Date** _____

_____ **Date** _____

_____ **Date** _____