Cooperative Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Committee Tuesday, December 17, 2024 // 9:00AM – 2:30PM

Virtual: Zoom Webinar

In-Person: WA State Light Industrial Park at 801 88th Ave. SE, Tumwater, WA 98512

Motions		
Motion	Move/Second (Vote)	
November 2024 Meeting Minutes Motion: Welles Bretherton moved to approve the November 2024 meeting minutes. The motion passed Eastside Timber Habitat Evaluation Project (ETHEP) Project Management Plan Motion: Amiee McIntyre moved to approve the Eastside Timber Habitat Evaluation Project (ETHEP) Project Management Plan	Seconded: Aimee McIntyre Up: Julie Dieu, Welles Bretherton, Jenny Knoth, Aimee McIntyre, A.J. Kroll, Mark Meleason, Debbie Kay, Doug Martin, Hans Berge, Chris Mendoza, Mark Mobbs, and Harry Bell. Seconded: A.J. Kroll Up: Julie Dieu, Welles Bretherton, Jenny Knoth, Aimee McIntyre, A.J. Kroll, Hans Berge, Debbie Kay, Mark Meleason, Mark Mobbs, Harry Bell, Chris Mendoza, and Doug Martin.	
The motion passed.		
Roads Project Update and GRAIP/WARSEM Survey Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP)Motion: A.J. Kroll moved to approve the GRAIP/WARSEM Survey Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP)The motion passed.	Seconded: Jenny Knoth Up: Welles Bretherton, Jenny Knoth, Aimee McIntyre, A.J. Kroll, Hans Berge, Debbie Kay, Mark Meleason, Mark Mobbs, Harry Bell, Chris Mendoza, and Joe Murry (proxy for Doug Martin). Abstain: Julie Dieu (co-authored the DIP)	
CMER 2025-2027 Work Plan <u>Motion:</u> A.J. Kroll moved to approve the CMER 2025- 2027 Work Plan pending final edits and cross walking the dates for the final projects (appendix) The motion passed.	Seconded: Chris Mendoza Up: Julie Dieu, Welles Bretherton, Jenny Knoth, Aimee McIntyre, A.J. Kroll, Joe Murray (proxy for Doug Martin), Harry Bell, Mark Mobbs, Chris Mendoza, Hans Berge, Debbie Kay, and Mark Meleason	
CMER PSM edits - Participation and Project Team ExpectationsMotion: Welles Bretherton moved to approve the CMER PSM edits - Participation and Project Team Expectations as amended per this discussion.	Seconded: A.J. Kroll Up: Julie Dieu, Welles Bretherton, Jenny Knoth, Aimee McIntyre, A.J. Kroll, Debbie Kay, Chris Mendoza, Harry Bell, Mark Mobbs, Mark Meleason, and Doug Martin. Abstain:	

The motion passed	Hans Berge (sentence in there for the CMER co- chairs to go to the person first, doesn't want to hold up)
PSM Edits	Welles Bretherton
Motion: Jenny Knoth moves to continue the workgroup to edit of the reset	
Jenny withdrew her motion, and it was added as an action item.	
DPC Study Design CMER approval	Seconded:
Motion: Jenny Knoth moved to approve the Default Physical Criteria Study Design. The motion passed	A.J. Kroll Up: Julie Dieu, Welles Bretherton, Jenny Knoth, Aime McIntyre, A.J. Kroll, Mark Meleason, Doug Martin, Mark Mobbs, Debbie Kay, Harry Bell Abstain: Chris Mendoza (he is a co-author) and Hans
DPC Study Design CMER approval to send to	Berge (recusing to avoid conflict) Seconded:
ISPR <u>Motion</u> : Aimee McIntyre moved to approve to send the Default Physical Criteria Study Design to ISPR. The motion passed	Welles Bretherton Up: Julie Dieu, Welles Bretherton, Jenny Knoth, Aimee McIntyre, A.J. Kroll, Doug Martin, Mark Mobbs, Debbie Kay, Mark Meleason, Chris Mendoza, and Harry Bell. Abstain: Hans Berge (recusing to avoid conflict)
Action Items	
Action Items	Responsibility
2024 Accomplishments document on January 2025 Agenda.	Natalie Church
Unstable Slopes Criteria Object-Based Landform mapping final report for CMER review on March 2025 Agenda	Natalie Church
Look into see if attendees are able to see list of participants and chat in the Zoom webinar format.	Natalie Church
Send final edits to Theryn on the 25-27 CMER Work Plan by December 31, 2024.	CMER Members
AMP Staff to crosswalk dates of completed projects.	Theryn Henkel/Chris Mendoza

Workgroup to continue to work on remaining PSM edits noted in Document 12.	CMER Members
Send Default Physical Criteria Study Design to ISPR.	Lori Clark
Schedule L-1 discussion possibly added to January 2025 agenda	Natalie Church

Welcome, Introductions, & Old Business

Ash Roorbach, CMER co-chair

Ash Roorbach took roll call

Ground Rules

- Welles Bretherton read: "Pursue win-win solutions".
- Hans Berge read: "Stay on topic".

Staff Updates

• No staff updates

Public Comments

No public comments.

TFW Policy Updates

Cody Thomas gave an update on what was discussed at the December 2024 Timber Fish and Wildlife (TFW) Policy Committee meeting.

Eastside Timber Habitat Evaluation Project (ETHEP) Project Management Plan

Jenny Schofield explained that the project team is now requesting approval of the project management plan that was approved by SAGE. Jenny explained that this is coming a little later than the project team wanted but there were many changes that needed to be made to the project management plan. This is the fieldwork component and analysis part of the project. Harry Bell brought up the section where it explains that Ben Spei has quite a few different obligations. He explained that he didn't know what we need to discuss exactly, possibly just recognizing that it is part of the project management plan. Jenny Schofield explained that as long as the project stays on schedule there will not be any issue. There is no indication that he is going anywhere. Lori Clark explained that the contracts and procurements are a DNR task not a CMER task.

Unstable Slopes Criteria Project Update

Elise Freeman gave an update on the Object-Based landform mapping project final report. Timelines have been extended due to multiple iterations of the report and the inability for the project team to come to agreement on the conclusions from the manual mapping exercise. The project team has pivoted to a voting exercise which is proceeding well, and Neptune and Company have provided feedback to ensure the exercise is statistically valid. The revised timeline is a March delivery to CMER and UPSAG to begin concurrent review. The project team is working on assessing whether the models draw landforms that a Qualified Expert also identifies as a landform. UPSAG is aware of the concurrent review as well as the additional exercise being conducted by the project team. The delivery of this report is a part of the commitment from the previous dispute and further delays could delay the next project phase, Susceptibility and Runout.

Zoom Webinar Format

Ash Roorbach explained a brief background of the reasoning for the Zoom Webinar format. This addressed the security issue, but it also can cause other issues. Natalie explained that there was change in how the webinar was run while she was on medical leave. A.J. Kroll asked Lori if this format is required. Lori explained that the Zoom format is consistent with the current PSM meeting management. Natalie explained that having this set up is not restricting people from speaking it is bringing consistency and meeting management to CMER meetings. Chris Mendoza brought up that SAG co-chairs used to participate in CMER more in the past. Debbie expressed that it would be helpful if people designated as attendees could see who is in the meeting. There was discussion that some members think regular participants should be promoted to panelists. A.J. Kroll explained that the Zoom bomb was not the only thing that has happened, a few years ago we had an inappropriate public comment where we had to change the process on how we accepted public comments. Aimee explained that she believes that the way that we are running the meeting is working well, but possibly adding the SAG co-chairs to panelists and have the attendees have the same interface as the panelist.

Roads Project Update and GRAIP/WARSEM Survey Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP)

Alexander Prescott explained that part of the interim report was used in an AGU presentation.

Alexander then explained that next is the approval of the Geomorphic Road Analysis and Inventory Package/Washington Road Surface Erosion Model (GRAIP/WARSEM) Delivery Analysis and Survey Experiment Detailed Implementation Plan (DIP).

CMER 2025-2027 Work Plan

Theryn Henkel explained that if there are any grammar edits that CMER voting members find, email them to Theryn by the end of the year so she can make those changes before making it into PDF format. Chris explained that there are a couple dates that need to be cross walked in the Appendix and he made edits to the Board Manual Revision section and didn't see that listed. Theryn explained that she did put them in the final draft but had neglected to put them track changes version, but that she rectified that, and the changes are now present in both versions.

CMER PSM edits - Participation and Project Team Expectations

Lori Clark explained that there was a workgroup that met since the last CMER meeting. That group worked together to continue revising the expectations regarding participation for CMER voting members and returned it to CMER with a request for approval. Aimee McIntyre thanked Jenny Knoth for facilitating the meeting and clarified that the document brought forward is a consensus document from that workgroup. Chris Mendoza explained that the rest of the PSM uses the words "shall and shouldn't" instead of "may". He asked a question about whether the AMPA should inform the FPB and the FPB remove the CMER member. There were some live edits made to the document.

DPC Study Design CMER approval

Anna Toledo explained that it is in concurrent CMER/ISAG review. If the reviewers feel like their comments are adequately addressed, then we are looking for approval today. Chris Mendoza thanked the reviewers for their comments. Jenny Knoth, Julie Dieu, and Debbie Kay thanked the project team.

DPC Study Design CMER approval to send to ISPR

Gratitude was shared for the CMER reviewers and helpful comments.

Jenny Knoth asked if the PHB ISPR reviewers were planning on also reviewing this study design. Lori explained that there was an email sent to Kent Wheiler in April 2024 asking for the same ISPR reviewers. One of the reviewers of the PHB Study is part of the team that won the implementation RFQQ for the PHB and DPC study designs and therefore cannot return as a reviewer due to conflict of interest. There

was a discussion on whether to have an open or closed ISPR review. Julie Dieu explained that she doesn't think it would take additional time but ultimately it is up to the project team. Chris explained that he does not think that it needs to be an open review because it could add unnecessary additional time.

CMER SAG Updates

Each SAG reviewed the CMER SAG updates document, which was updated live as needed.

Attendees	Representing
§Bell, Harry	WFFA- Small Forest Landowners
§Berge, Hans	UCUT – Eastern Washington Tribes
§Bretherton, Welles	Department of Ecology
§Dieu, Julie	Rayonier
§Kay, Debbie	Suquamish Tribe
§Knoth, Jenny	Washington Farm Forestry Association
§Kroll, A.J.	Large Industrial Landowners
§Martin, Doug	Washington Forest Protection Association
§McIntyre, Aimee	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife/CMER Co-Chair
§Meleason, Mark	Counties Caucus
§Mendoza, Chris	Conservation Caucus
§Mobbs, Mark	Quinault Tribe
Belleveau, Lisa	Skokomish Tribe
Black, Jenelle	NWIFC CMER Scientist
Church, Natalie	DNR – AMP Coordinator
Clark, Lori	Adaptive Management Program Administrator
Freeman, Elise	CMER Scientist
Greenwood, Emma	Spokane Tribe
Hage, Vaughn	CMER Natural Resources Technician
Henkel, Theryn	DNR Supervisory Project Manager
Heimburg, John	WDFW Habitat Program
Hooks, Doug	WFPA
Lower, Adam	Chehalis Tribe
Miles, Danielle	DNR
Mitchell, Robert	Member of the Public
Murray, Joe	Washington Forest Protection Association
Prescott, Alexander	DNR Project Manager
Roorbach, Ash	CMER Co-Chair/Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission
Rubin, Rachel	CMER Scientist
Schofield, Jenny	DNR Project Manager
Thomas, Cody	UCUT/ TFW Policy Co-chair
Toledo, Anna	DNR Project Manager
Walter, Jason	ISAG co-chair
Williamson, Tanner	CMER Scientist

List of Attendees

§CMER Voting Member