



Commercial Finfish Net Pen Aquaculture & Washington State Aquatic Habitats

Background and Purpose

The purpose of this document is to share key findings from a synthesis of the best available science concerning the habitat stressors from commercial finfish net pen aquaculture (CFNPA), and how those stressors interact with aquatic habitats and habitat elements of interest to Washington State. It also highlights the possibility of cumulative impacts in the face of climate-induced changes.

Stressors to Priority Habitats from Commercial Finfish Net Pen Aquaculture

1. Shading

- Eelgrass beds, kelp forests, and other macroalgae are recognized for their ecological, economic, and sociocultural values. Shading from floating infrastructure can slow or prevent growth of these organisms as they are sunlight-dependent species ¹.
- Shading can promote the growth of more shade tolerant turf algae and epiphytes that accumulate sediments and outcompete kelps for habitat and further shade eelgrass by growing on their blades ².
- Direct shading from CFNPA has been shown to negatively effects submerged aquatic vegetation and macrofauna ^{3,4}.
- CFNPA structure serves as aggregating structure, attracting other mobile species such as predatory fish and birds due to its 3-dimensional structure and the presence of feed that can attract and be consumed by non-target species ⁵.

2. Effluent

- Particulate discharge from CFNPA can remain suspended in the water column causing declines in light availability creating conditions unsuitable for kelp and eelgrass and more favorable for shade and sediment tolerant turf algae ⁶.
- Antibiotics can be transferred to the benthos through both uneaten feed and particulate excrement, where they are then found in organisms underneath or surrounding the site of impact ^{7,8}.
- Commercial finfish net pens are a point source of heavy metals such as zinc and copper that are transferred to the environment from fish feed and pen cleaning ⁹.
- Measurable levels of benthic impact are observed months to years after a commercial finfish net pen has been removed from a site ^{10,11}.

3. Hydrodynamics

- Floating CFNPA structures can alter surface flow leading to alterations in lateral circulation, water momentum and vertical mixing ¹².



WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF **NATURAL RESOURCES**

- A CFNPA structure can reduce current velocity causing increased particle deposition and accumulation of fine materials beneath the structure ¹².
- Hydrodynamic effects of CFNPA may be site-specific and depend on seasonal variations in water currents.

Cumulative Effects of Multiple Stressors

Cumulative effects of diminished flushing and continuous nutrient input (feces, feed) can increase organic loading, leading to increased bacterial decomposition and lowering dissolved oxygen and increasing sulfate concentrations beneath CFNPA and in the root zones where aquatic vegetation resides.

It is suspected that adjustments to natural cycles (water level, current, eutrophication, stratification, acidification, light penetration, runoff, temperature, weather extremes) via climate change may already have increased the risk of pathogens and parasites, eutrophication, algal blooms, and the presence of invasive and non-native species within natural systems which is cause for concern if said adjustments interact with CFNPA related stressors.

Summary References

1. Lambert, M. R., Ojala-Barbour, R., Jr, R. V., McIntyre, A. P. & Quinn, T. Small overwater structures: a review of effects on Puget Sound habitat and salmon. (2021).
2. Filbee-Dexter, K. & Wernberg, T. Rise of Turfs: A New Battlefield for Globally Declining Kelp Forests. *BioScience* **68**, 64–76 (2018).
3. Huse, I., Bjordal, Å., Fernö, A. & Furevik, D. The effect of shading in pen rearing of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquac. Eng.* **9**, 235–244 (1990).
4. Findlay, R. H., Watling, L. & Mayer, L. M. Environmental Impact of Salmon Net-Pen Culture on Marine Benthic Communities in Maine: A Case Study. *Estuaries* **18**, 145 (1995).
5. Dempster, T. *et al.* Coastal salmon farms attract large and persistent aggregations of wild fish: an ecosystem effect. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **385**, 1–14 (2009).
6. Schiel, D. R. & Foster, M. S. The Population Biology of Large Brown Seaweeds: Ecological Consequences of Multiphase Life Histories in Dynamic Coastal Environments. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **37**, 343–372 (2006).
7. Capone, D. G., Weston, D. P., Miller, V. & Shoemaker, C. Antibacterial residues in marine sediments and invertebrates following chemotherapy in aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **145**, 55–75 (1996).
8. Buschmann, A. H. *et al.* Salmon Aquaculture and Antimicrobial Resistance in the Marine Environment. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e42724 (2012).
9. Dean, R. J., Shimmield, T. M. & Black, K. D. Copper, zinc and cadmium in marine cage fish farm sediments: An extensive survey. *Environ. Pollut.* **145**, 84–95 (2007).
10. Brooks, K. M. *An Evaluation of the Relationship between Salmon Farm Biomass, Organic Inputs to Sediments, Physicochemical Changes Associated with Those Inputs and the Infaunal Response – with Emphasis on Total Sediment Sulfides, Total Volatile Solids, and Oxidation Reduction Potential as Surrogate Endpoints for Biological Monitoring.* lbc.leg.bc.ca/public/PubDocs/bcdocs/350262/Focused_Study_Final_Report1.pdf (2001).
11. Mahnken, C. V. W. Benthic Faunal Recovery and Succession after Removal of a Marine Fish Farm: a dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. (1993).
12. Liu, Z. & Huguenard, K. Hydrodynamic Response of a Floating Aquaculture Farm in a Low Inflow Estuary. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **125**, e2019JC015625 (2020).