

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization, or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. **You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown.** You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to **all parts of your proposal**, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for lead agencies

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B, plus the [Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions \(Part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in "Part B: Environmental Elements" that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

East Low (EL) 84.7 Pumping Plant and Pipeline Delivery System

2. Name of applicant:

East Columbia Basin Irrigation District (District)

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

East Columbia Basin Irrigation District

Nathan Andreini, Project Contact

55 N 8th Avenue

Othello, WA 99344

(509) 488-9671

nandreini@ecbid.org

4. Date checklist prepared:

December 18, 2023

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR)

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The project is scheduled to be bid in spring or summer of 2024 with construction starting in fall 2024.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

The proposed project is part of the Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program (OGWRP). OGWRP includes many projects that aim to replace current groundwater irrigation in the Odessa Subarea with Columbia Basin Project (CBP) surface water. Expansion prompted by OGWRP includes improvements of the East Low Canal (ELC) to increase delivery capacity and construction of separate pumping plants and pipeline distribution systems to deliver CBP water to farms that rely on groundwater.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

The following project-specific environmental information has been or will be prepared:

- *Addendum to Cultural Resources Assessment for the EL 80.6 and EL 84.7 Delivery Systems Project, Adams and Franklin County Washington* (Cultural Resources Consultants, Inc., (CRC), 2023). (Final is 2024 - In draft form at date of Checklist)
- *Cultural Resources Assessment for the EL 80.6 and EL 84.7 Delivery Systems Project, Othello, Adams and Franklin Counties, Washington* (CRC, 2022).
- *Cultural Resources Assessment for the EL 79.27 Delivery System Design Project, Othello, Adams County, Washington* (CRC, 2022).
- *Geotechnical Engineering Report (Rev. 0) East Columbia Basin Irrigation District EL 84.7 Pump Station and Irrigation Delivery System* (Shannon & Wilson, 2022).
- *AMENDED Record of Decision for the Odessa Subarea Special Study Final Environmental Impact Statement* (U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), 2013).
- *Record of Decision for the Odessa Subarea Special Study Final Environmental Impact Statement* (Reclamation, 2013).
- *Endangered Species Act Biological Opinion and Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat Consultation Prepared by National Marine Fisheries Service Regarding Bureau of Reclamation's Odessa Subarea Modified Partial Groundwater Replacement Project on the Columbia River in Adams, Lincoln, Franklin and Grant Counties, Washington* (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service, 2013).
- *Biological Assessment of Effects to Species and Critical Habitat for Thirteen Anadromous Salmon ESUs, Pacific Eulachon, Green Sturgeon, and Killer Whales in the Columbia River Basin from Implementation of the Modified Partial Groundwater Irrigation Replacement Alternative (Alternative 4A)* (Reclamation, 2012).
- *Odessa Subarea Special Study Final Environmental Impact Statement Vol. 1 & 2* (Reclamation and Washington State Department of Ecology, 2012).

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

The project was included in the original *Odessa Subarea Special Study Environmental Impact Statement*, and consequently, Reclamation plans to complete a Supplemental Information Report for the delivery system to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act. At this time, Reclamation only anticipates needing to review a Cultural Resources Survey, analyzing impacts to both the cultural and built environments from the proposed projects.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

The following approvals are anticipated for the EL 84.7 Pumping Plant and Pipeline Delivery System improvements.

Grant of Easement from DNR for irrigation pipeline facilities (DNR App. No. 50-106153)

- State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) – DNR
- Building Permit (for pumping plant) – Adams County (County)
- Right-of-Way Permit – County

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The scope of this SEPA checklist is a 5,300 linear foot (lf) section of new 42-inch-diameter irrigation pipeline proposed by the District. This portion of the pressurized irrigation main will be installed on the south side of parcel no. 2531160000001 that is owned by DNR. The District is in the process of obtaining an easement from DNR to site the pipeline and this SEPA checklist is intended to support the easement acquisition process. No other project elements will be constructed on DNR land, or are included in this SEPA checklist. [A gravel maintenance road, air/vacuum valve, and buried fiber optics and power for the facilities may also be installed in the easement on DNR, per the design.](#)

The project is part of a larger proposal, the EL 84.7 Pumping Plant and Pipeline Distribution System project that is intended to deliver groundwater to approximately 7,000 acres east of Othello, Washington via a new pressurized water delivery system. The project will replace irrigation water currently provided by deep wells in the area. [Columbia Basin Project surface water](#)

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The proposed project is located in Adams County, Washington. This SEPA Checklist addresses project improvements located along the DNR owned parcel no. 2531160000001. The project area is in Section 16 of Township 15 North, Range 31 East.

B. Environmental Elements

1. Earth

a. General description of the site:

Circle or highlight one: Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other:

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The majority of the project area is previously graded agricultural land and is flat. However, an approximately 15 percent slope is present on the eastern portion of the alignment.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them, and note any agricultural

land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

According to the Natural Resources Conservation Service soil survey data, the project area is primarily within the Shano silt loam, 0 to 5 percent slopes, soil map unit, which is comprised of a well drained silt loam that forms on hillslopes from loess. This soil is classified as a prime farmland if irrigated and is not hydric.

The project area also contains the Esquatzel silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, soil map unit, which is comprised of a well drained silt loam that is formed in depressions from alluvium of loess. This soil is classified as prime farmland if irrigated and is not hydric.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

None known.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Installation of the proposed irrigation main will require excavation of a trench that is approximately 5,300 lf long and up to 43 feet wide, for a total of up to 225,300 square feet (sf) of disturbed area. Trenching will require the removal of approximately 2,000 cubic yards of material that will be used for backfilling after utility installation. Soils at the site are anticipated to be sufficient for reuse as trench backfill.

f. Could erosion occur because of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

As most of the project will occur within flat areas or areas with gentle slopes, the risk of erosion from project construction is generally low. Construction will be required to employ temporary erosion and sedimentation control best management practices (BMPs) to prevent and manage temporary impacts from erosion.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

The existing surfaces will be restored to pre-construction conditions following project completion, and any vegetated areas disturbed during construction will be replanted. No additional impervious surfaces will occur from the project.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any.

BMPs anticipated to be utilized during construction include, but are not limited to, constrained construction limits, securing temporary stockpiles and slopes, and daily site cleanup.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Temporary exhaust and dust emissions from construction equipment and vehicles are anticipated during construction. The constructed project will not involve any emissions.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

None known.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any.

Construction equipment and vehicles shall conform to Washington State standards for air quality, including using properly functioning equipment and vehicles that have passed emissions testing, using clean-burning fuels when possible, limiting diesel exhaust, limiting vehicle idling, etc.

3. Water

a. Surface Water:

1. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

An irrigation canal is located approximately 2 miles west of the DNR parcel. This irrigation canal flows into the Snake and Columbia Rivers approximately 40 miles south near the City of Pasco. No other waterbodies are present in proximity to the site.

2. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Not applicable.

3. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None.

4. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give a general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

The larger EL 84.7 Delivery System project will withdraw surface water from the ELC for irrigation. The withdrawn surface water will be pumped through the project's proposed irrigation pipeline.

5. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

A small section of Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Zone A is mapped on the project site. This area is not associated with a stream, river, or other waterbody. The mapped flood zone is through cropland areas with pivot irrigation systems. Aerial imagery indicates a faint seasonal draw is present in this area that likely receives irrigation overflows during the irrigation season and/or during heavy rainfall events. No visible channel, pond, or other indications of inundation or flooding are present in this area or elsewhere on the project site.

6. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

None proposed.

b. Ground Water:

1. Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give a general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No.

2. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Not applicable.

c. Water Runoff (including stormwater):

1. Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Water runoff in the project area infiltrates soils. The completed project will not impact the existing runoff patterns of the site.

2. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No.

3. Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No.

4. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any.

BMPs will be implemented to avoid and minimize potential impacts to nearby areas during project construction. Project design will be completed to adhere to applicable local, state, and federal regulations that provide standards to reduce and control impacts to surface, ground, and storm waters and drainage patterns.

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- orchards, vineyards, or other permanent crops.
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Construction of the project will require the disturbance of up to 225,300 sf of vegetation (most likely less) that is primarily comprised of weedy species such as cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) and other upland nonnative herbaceous species. No shrubs or trees will be removed. Much of the area has been previously disturbed by farm vehicle operation.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Based on a review of DNR Natural Heritage data and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) data, no threatened or endangered plant species are known to be on or near the project site.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any.

None proposed.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

None known.

5. Animals

a. List any birds and other animals that have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

- **Birds:** hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
- **Mammals:** deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
- **Fish:** bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

According to IPaC data, yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*) and monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) may be present in the area; however, suitable habitat for these species is not present on or in the immediate vicinity of the project site. No threatened or endangered species or their habitats are anticipated to be present on or near the site.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

The project area is within the Pacific Flyway migration route; therefore, it may provide habitat for migratory bird species. USFWS data indicates that American white pelican (*Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*) and California gull (*Larus californicus*), migratory species recognized as Birds of Conservation Concern, may be found within the project area.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any.

Project activities are planned to occur primarily in previously disturbed areas, which coincide with areas providing low habitat value (i.e., in or adjacent to the farm roads). No tree or shrub removal is anticipated; therefore, related wildlife habitat impacts will be minimal. No measures are proposed to preserve or enhance wildlife habitat.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

None known.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Gasoline and oil will be used to fuel equipment for construction of the project. The constructed project will not require additional energy needs.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any.

None.

7. Environmental Health

- a. **Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur because of this proposal? If so, describe.**

No.

1. **Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.**

None known.

- a. **Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.**

None known.

- b. **Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.**

Construction of the project will utilize oil- and gas-fueled equipment and may require temporary fuel storage onsite. These uses carry some risk of spill; however, the risk should be minimized with the implementation of spill control methodologies to be outlined in the project design and technical specifications, and in accordance with Washington State pollution control standards.

- c. **Describe special emergency services that might be required.**

No special emergency services are anticipated.

- d. **Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any.**

No additional measures beyond those mentioned previously.

b. Noise

1. **What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?**

Existing noise at the project site is minimal and limited to intermittent farm vehicle operation and irrigation equipment operation.

2. **What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site)?**

Temporary construction noise will occur with the irrigation pipeline installation, including noise generated by construction vehicles and excavation activities. The contractor will need to follow

regulations set forth in Chapter 9.20 of the Adams County Code (ACC), including controlling the level and timing of noise generated during construction.

3. Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any.

Construction activities and proposed site improvements shall comply with the noise regulations of the ACC.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The site consists of disturbed farmland between crop fields used for local farm vehicle transportation. The adjacent areas are in active agricultural crop production. The project will not affect land uses of the site.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses because of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

The project site is used to support working farmlands. No working farmlands will be converted due to the project.

1. Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how?

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

No structures are present on the site.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

According to the County's Zoning Map, the project area is entirely within the Prime Agriculture zone.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

According to the County's Comprehensive Plan Map, land in the project vicinity is designated as Prime Agriculture.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Not applicable.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

No.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any.

Not applicable.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any.

The project is not anticipated to negatively impact existing or projected adjacent land uses; rather, it will support existing agricultural uses of the area. The proposed irrigation pipeline is part of larger, system-wide improvements to the District's irrigation water supply system. The project is intended to better serve the existing land uses by supplying reliable and adequate irrigation water from CBP surface waters throughout the area.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any.

Not applicable.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any.

Not applicable.

10. Aesthetics

- a. **What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?**

No aboveground structures are proposed by the project.

- b. **What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?**

None.

- c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any.**

None.

11. Light and Glare

- a. **What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?**

None.

- b. **Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?**

No.

- c. **What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?**

None.

- d. **Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any.**

None.

12. Recreation

- a. **What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?**

None.

- b. **Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.**

No.

- c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any.**

None proposed.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

- a. **Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.**

No historic buildings, structures, or sites are shown on the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeology Records Data (WISAARD) database within the immediate vicinity of the project site.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.**

None known. According to DAHP's WISAARD database, the project site is within an area with moderate and high risk of containing an archaeological site or resource. CRC conducted a cultural resources study and presented the results of its findings in a report titled *Cultural Resources Assessment for the EL 79.2 Delivery System Design Project, Othello, Adams County, Washington* (CRC, 2022). No archaeological sites or isolates were found.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.**

CRC conducted a background research study, reviewed historic maps and databases, contacted area Tribes, and conducted field investigations, including shovel probes throughout the site, to identify archaeological and historic evidence and evaluate the potential for the project to affect cultural resources.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.**

The CRC report includes an Unanticipated Discovery Plan to guide the contractor in the event of an unanticipated discovery of archeological resources during construction. Cultural resources review of the project will also be completed by Reclamation.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.**

The project area can be accessed via Washington State Route 26, unnamed farming access roads that follow the nearby irrigation canal, and Hampton Road that follows the proposed project alignment.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?**

No.

- c. **Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle, or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).**

No.

- d. **Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.**

No.

- e. **How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?**

The project will not entail a change in daily vehicular trips.

- f. **Will the proposal interfere with, affect, or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.**

No.

- g. **Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any.**

No impacts to transportation are anticipated so no measures are proposed.

15. Public Services

- a. **Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.**

No.

- b. **Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.**

Not applicable.

16. Utilities

- a. **Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other:**

None.

- b. **Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.**

The project proposes installation of new irrigation utility pipeline to serve existing agricultural uses of the site. [Power and fiber communications required for the irrigation facilities may also be installed.](#)

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

X 

SEPA Responsible Official

Type name of signee: Nathan Andreini

Position and agency/organization: Project Contact, East Columbia Basin Irrigation District

Date submitted: Click or tap to enter a date.

4/16/2024