

SFI 2020

ANNUAL REPORT SURVEY

Start Date
Tuesday, 30 March 2021 23:49
Finish Date
Thursday, 01 April 2021 01:24
Time Taken
1d 1h 35m 30s

PROFILE

PROFILE



Please note that individual responses shall remain strictly confidential, and may only be used in aggregate form for marketing and communications purposes.

No answer

VERIFY MY ORGANIZATIONAL INFO

VERIFY/UPDATE MY ORGANIZATION'S PROFILE DETAILS

Use the "EDIT ORGANIZATION DETAILS" button to update your Organization's details.

SFI would like to feature your organization and products in the SFI certificate database (</search/search-products>) and on the SFI website (<http://www.forests.org>). Please include or update a short paragraph on what makes your organization unique, in addition to what products or services you provide. Please consider involving your communications and marketing colleagues, as appropriate.

WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

[EDIT ORGANIZATION
DETAILS \(/VIEW-
ORG/ITEM/EDIT/3576\)](#)

The Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) works every day to ensure a sustainable future for state trust lands and beneficiaries, native ecosystems, and natural resources—a future that includes good jobs, recreational opportunities, and natural beauty. Employing approximately 1,400 full-time, part-time, seasonal and temporary employees, DNR manages more than 5 million acres of lands including forest, range, commercial, agricultural, and aquatic lands. These lands produce revenue in support of public schools, state institutions, and county services. DNR also manages Natural Resources Conservation Areas (NRCAs) and Natural Area Preserves (NAPs) that protect unique and threatened native ecosystems which also offer educational and research opportunities. The department helps protect Washington State's natural resources by improving forest health conditions through suppressing and preventing wildfires on more than 12 million acres of state-owned and private forestlands and maintaining forest conditions that are resilient to insect and disease. DNR also regulates surface mine reclamation, provides information about geologic hazards and rare native plant species and ecosystems, and provides public access for outdoor recreation opportunities. Currently, all of the approximately 2.1 million acres of DNR-managed forested state trust lands in

Washington State are certified under the Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI) program standard. With some of the highest environmental standards in the world, DNR-managed forests offer local markets a continuous flow of high-quality wood that supports Northwest mills and woodworkers. Having some of the most commercially productive forests in the United States, DNR is working hard to ensure that products for business, home construction, or weekend projects are grown and harvested to protect core environmental and social values.

Address	MS 47014 1111 Washington Street SE
City	Olympia
Country	United States
State / Province	Washington
Email Address	Nicole.Jacobsen@dnr.wa.gov (mailto:Nicole.Jacobsen@dnr.wa.gov)
Website Link	http://www.dnr.wa.gov/ (http://http://www.dnr.wa.gov/)
SFI Label ID Number	SFI-00071
Organizational Type	Array
Organizational Main Contact	Nicole Jacobsen

No answer

COUNTRIES YOUR ORGANIZATION SELLS INTO

Select all countries in which you sell products:

No answer

SECT 1 PRELOAD INDICATOR

PRODUCTS AND CONTACTS

PRODUCTS AND CONTACTS

Please take a moment to review the accuracy of your organization's listed products and contacts.

[VIEW & EDIT CONTACTS \(/PHP/MY_ORG.PHP\)](#)

[VIEW & EDIT PRODUCTS \(/PHP/MY_ORG.PHP\)](#)

CERTIFICATES AND OPERATIONS

Your certificate type(s) and locations will determine what sections of this survey are enabled/disabled. Please indicate locations outside the US & Canada. If something in this table is incorrect, contact SFI. (mailto:SFIREPORTING@forests.org)

[UPDATES NEEDED? CONTACT SFI \(MAILTO:SFIREPORTING@FORESTS.ORG\)](#)

No answer

CERTIFICATES AND OPERATIONS HEADER

CERTIFICATE TYPE 2

COUNTRY

OTHER OPERATIONS

No answer

No answer

No answer

SFI FOREST MANAGEMENT CERTIFICATES

SFI FOREST MANAGEMENT

SFI Forest Management

SFI FOREST MANAGEMENT - COUNTRY

United States

SFI FOREST MANAGEMENT - OTHER OPERATIONS

No answer

SFI FIBER SOURCING

SFI FIBER SOURCING

No answer

SFI FIBER SOURCING - COUNTRY

No answer

SFI FIBER SOURCING - OTHER OPERATIONS

No answer

SFI CHAIN OF CUSTODY

SFI CHAIN OF CUSTODY

No answer

SFI CHAIN OF CUSTODY - COUNTRY

No answer

SFI CHAIN OF CUSTODY - OTHER OPERATIONS

No answer

SFI CERTIFIED SOURCING

SFI CERTIFIED SOURCING

No answer

SFI CERTIFIED SOURCING - COUNTRY

No answer

SFI CERTIFIED SOURCING - OTHER OPERATIONS

No answer

ABOUT THIS SURVEY

Please note, if you skip to the Market Survey, any updates to this Profile page will also be included in the Market Survey Profile page.

[Skip to Market Survey \(/marketplace-survey\)](#)

Answers are saved once you click the "NEXT PAGE" button. You are able to log out and return to the survey before submitting.

No answer

THE AREA REPORTED IN THIS SURVEY IS IN:

The area reported in this survey is in:

CERTIFICATE DETAILS

The following table pulls directly from certificate details reported by your certification body. Please complete the table with total portion of area open to the public for recreation - fee-based or not.

If something is incorrect, use the button to contact SFI.

Updates Needed?
 Contact SFI
 (mailto:SFIReporting@forests.org)

	Country	State/Prov	Certified Area (Acres)	Certified Area (HA)	Public/Private	Forest Ownership Type	% open to the public for recreation	Areas open
1	United States	Washington	2,444,920	989	Public	State	100	No Fee
2								No answer
3								No answer
4								No answer
5								No answer
6								No answer
7								No answer
8								No answer
9								No answer
10								No answer
11								No answer
12								No answer
13								No answer
14								No answer
15								No answer
16								No answer
17								No answer
18								No answer
19								No answer
20								No answer
21								No answer
22								No answer
23								No answer
24								No answer
25								No answer

FORESTLANDS OUTSIDE USA AND CANADA

FORESTLANDS OUTSIDE THE USA AND CANADA

	Country	Total Area Managed	Is this area certified under a certification standard?	cert standard dropdown
1	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
2	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
3	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Country	Total Area Managed	Is this area certified under a certification standard?	cert standard dropdown
4	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
5	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
6	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
7	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
8	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
9	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
10	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

CHEMICAL USE AND FOREST CONVERSION

CHEMICAL USE AND FOREST CONVERSION

The 2015-2019 SFI Forest Management Standard has performance measures related to forest conversion and chemical use. These are important topics for brand owners and the conservation community, who are seeking to reduce the perceived risks associated with forest conversion and chemical use. These requirements are designed to help Program Participants identify and manage these risks. SFI would like to better understand how Program Participants are managing these risks. We would also like to identify changes that may have occurred to your forest management planning and/or operational practices.

These specific questions will be asked year over year to understand perceived SFI programmatic risks.

CHEMICAL USE

No answer

DO YOU USE A WHO 1A OR 1B PESTICIDE IN YOUR OPERATIONS?

Do you use a WHO 1A or 1B pesticide (http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides_hazard/en/) in your operations?

No

PLEASE PROVIDE THE NAME OF THE PESTICIDE USED AND THE RATIONALE FOR WHY NO OTHER VIABLE ALTERNATIVE WAS AVAILABLE.

Please provide the name of the pesticide used and the rationale for why no other viable alternative was available.

No answer

DO YOU CONTINUE TO USE IT?

Do you continue to use it?

No answer

DO YOU HAVE PLANS TO STOP USING IT?

Do you have plans to stop using it?

No answer

DID YOU STOP USING A WHO 1A OR 1B PESTICIDE IN YOUR OPERATIONS DUE TO REQUIREMENTS IN THE SFI 2015-2019 FOREST MANAGEMENT STANDARD IN 2020?

Did you stop using a WHO 1A or 1B pesticide (http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides_hazard/en/) in your operations due to requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Forest Management Standard in 2020?

No

PLEASE PROVIDE THE NAME OF THE PESTICIDE THAT YOU STOPPED USING.

Please provide the name of the pesticide that you stopped using.

No answer

DID YOU CONVERT ONE FOREST COVER TYPE TO ANOTHER FOREST COVER TYPE AS DEFINED BY INDICATOR 1.2.1

CONVERSION

Did you convert one forest cover type to another forest cover type as defined by Indicator 1.2.1?

Yes

CONVERSION - SELECT ALL THAT APPLY

Select all that apply:

CONVERSION - RESTORATION OF HISTORIC FOREST COVER

Restoration of Historic Forest Cover

TRUE

CONVERSION - RESTORATION OF HISTORIC FOREST COVER - SELECT ALL THAT APPLY

CONVERSION - RESTORATION - RIPARIAN PROTECTION

TRUE

CONVERSION - RESTORATION - RIPARIAN PROTECTION - AREA CONVERTED

11 - 100 acres

CONVERSION - RESTORATION - NATIVE FOREST TYPES

No answer

CONVERSION - RESTORATION - NATIVE FOREST TYPES - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - RESTORATION - OTHER

No answer

CONVERSION - RESTORATION - OTHER - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - RESTORATION - OTHER - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CONVERSION - RESPONDING TO FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS

Responding to forest health concerns

No answer

**CONVERSION - RESPONDING TO FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS -
SELECT ALL THAT APPLY**

CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH - INVASIVE SPECIES

No answer

**CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS - INVASIVE SPECIES - AREA
CONVERTED**

No answer

CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS - DISEASE ISSUES

No answer

**CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS - DISEASE ISSUES - AREA
CONVERTED**

No answer

CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS - INSECTS

No answer

CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS - INSECTS - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS - OTHER

No answer

CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS - OTHER - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - FOREST HEALTH CONCERNS - OTHER - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CONVERSION - MITIGATING PRESENT OR FUTURE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM

Mitigating Present or Future Environmental Harm

No answer

CONVERSION - MITAGATING - SELECT ALL THAT APPLY

CONVERSION - MITIGATING - ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF CONVERSION - REVIEW AT THE SITE LEVEL

No answer

CONVERSION - MITIGATING - ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF CONVERSION - REVIEW AT THE SITE LEVEL - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - MITIGATING - ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF CONVERSION - REVIEW AT THE LANDSCAPE SCALE

No answer

CONVERSION - MITIGATING - ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF CONVERSION - REVIEW AT THE LANDSCAPE SCALE - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - MITIGATING - OTHER

No answer

CONVERSION - MITIGATING - OTHER - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - MITIGATING - OTHER - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS

Economic reasons

No answer

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS - SELECT ALL THAT APPLY

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS - PRODUCTIVITY

No answer

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS - PRODUCTIVITY - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS - STAND QUALITY

No answer

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS - STAND QUALITY - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS - OTHER

No answer

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS - OTHER - AREA CONVERTED

No answer

CONVERSION - ECONOMIC REASONS - OTHER - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

DID YOU CONVERT ANY FOREST LANDS NOT COVERED UNDER THE SCOPE OF YOUR SFI CERTIFICATE TO OTHER LAND USES IN 2020 (E.G. AGRICULTURE)?

Did you convert any forest lands not covered under the scope of your SFI certificate to other land uses in 2020 (e.g. agriculture)?

No

CONVERT ANY FORESTLANDS - EXPLAIN

Please Explain:

No answer

PROVIDE THE AREA OF YOUR MANAGED FORESTLAND THAT WAS CONVERTED BY REGION (STATE/PROVINCE)

Provide the area of your managed forestland that was converted by region (state/province)

	Acres converted of our managed forest land	Region
1		- Select Region -
2		- Select Region -
3		- Select Region -
4		- Select Region -
5		- Select Region -
6		- Select Region -
7		- Select Region -
8		- Select Region -
9		- Select Region -
10		- Select Region -

I. HARVEST

HARVEST AND REFORESTATION



HARVEST

No answer

USA - PROGRAM PARTICIPANT LAND COVERED UNDER THE SCOPE OF YOUR SFI CERTIFICATE

USA - PROGRAM PARTICIPANT LAND COVERED UNDER THE SCOPE OF YOUR SFI CERTIFICATE

No answer

WHAT IS THE TOTAL AREA OF HARVEST UNITS COMPLETED LAST YEAR THAT WOULD QUALIFY AS FINAL HARVEST? - USA

Total Final Harvest: What is the total area of harvest units completed last year that would qualify as final harvest (the removal of the remaining crop trees in a stand. It is anticipated that the time between final harvests on a given unit would typically correspond to the economic rotation age of the crop species)?

FINAL TOTAL CLEARCUT: WHAT IS THE TOTAL AREA OF FINAL HARVEST UNITS COMPLETED LAST YEAR BY CLEARCUTTING? - USA

Final Total Clearcut: What is the total area of final harvest units completed last year by clearcutting?

13414

AVERAGE CLEARCUT: WHAT WAS THE AVERAGE AREA OF FINAL HARVEST UNITS THAT WERE CLEAR-CUT (EVEN-AGED)? - USA

Average Clearcut: What was the average area of final harvest units that were clear-cut (even-aged)?

43

AVERAGE CLEARCUT - NESTED - USA

USA - IF GREATER THAN 50 HECTARES OR 120 ACRES, CHECK ALL THAT APPLY AND PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION FOR EACH:

No answer

GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS REQUIRING LARGER HARVEST AREAS

No answer

GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: DISEASE OR INSECTS

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: DISEASE OR INSECTS - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: FIRE SALVAGE

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: FIRE SALVAGE - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: WINDTHROW

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: WINDTHROW - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: HURRICANE

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: HURRICANE - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: ICE STORMS

No answer

RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: ICE STORMS - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

USA - OTHER

No answer

USA - OTHER - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

WHAT IS THE TOTAL AREA OF FINAL HARVEST UNITS COMPLETED LAST YEAR BY OTHER METHODS? - USA

No answer

WHAT IS THE TOTAL AREA OF FINAL HARVEST UNITS COMPLETED LAST YAR BY OTHER METHODS - EXPLAIN - USA

No answer

TOTAL HARVEST NOT CLASSIFIED AS FINAL - USA

Total Harvest NOT Classified as Final: What was the total area of harvest units complete last year NOT classified as final harvest?

4786

TOTAL HARVEST NOT CLASSIFIED AS FINAL - NESTED - USA

SEED TREE AND SHELTERWOOD - USA

No answer

SEED TREE AND SHELTERWOOD EXPLAIN - USA

No answer

SELECTION METHODS - USA

TRUE

SELECTION METHODS EXPLAIN - USA

THINNING OR SANITATION SALVAGE - USA

TRUE

THINNING OR SANITATION SALVAGE EXPLAIN - USA

4673

OTHER METHODS - USA

TRUE

OTHER METHODS EXPLAIN - USA

107

CANADA - PROGRAM PARTICIPANT LAND COVERED UNDER THE SCOPE OF YOUR SFI CERTIFICATE

CANADA - PROGRAM PARTICIPANT LAND COVERED UNDER THE SCOPE OF YOUR SFI CERTIFICATE

No answer

WHAT IS THE TOTAL AREA OF HARVEST UNITS COMPLETED LAST YEAR THAT WOULD QUALIFY AS FINAL HARVEST? - CANADA

Total Final Harvest: What is the total area of harvest units completed last year that would qualify as final harvest (the removal of the remaining crop trees in a stand. It is anticipated that the time between final harvests on a given unit would typically correspond to the economic rotation age of the crop species)?

No answer

FINAL TOTAL CLEARCUT: WHAT IS THE TOTAL AREA OF FINAL HARVEST UNITS COMPLETED LAST YEAR BY CLEARCUTTING? - CANADA

Final Total Clearcut: What is the total area of final harvest units completed last year by clearcutting?

No answer

AVERAGE CLEARCUT: WHAT WAS THE AVERAGE AREA OF FINAL HARVEST UNITS THAT WERE CLEAR-CUT (EVEN-AGED)? - CANADA

Average Clearcut: What was the average area of final harvest units that were clear-cut (even-aged)?

No answer

AVERAGE CLEARCUT - NESTED - CANADA

CANADA - IF GREATER THAN 50 HECTARES OR 120 ACRES, CHECK ALL THAT APPLY AND PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION FOR EACH:

No answer

CANADA - GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS REQUIRING LARGER HARVEST AREAS

No answer

CANADA - GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS REQUIRING LARGER HARVEST AREAS - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: DISEASE OR INSECTS

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: DISEASE OR INSECTS - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: FIRE SALVAGE

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: FIRE SALVAGE - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: WINDTHROW

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: WINDTHROW - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: HURRICANE

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: HURRICANE - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: ICE STORMS

No answer

CANADA - RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTER: ICE STORMS - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

CANADA - OTHER

No answer

CANADA - OTHER - PLEASE EXPLAIN

No answer

WHAT IS THE TOTAL AREA OF FINAL HARVEST UNITS COMPLETED LAST YEAR BY OTHER METHODS? - CANADA

No answer

WHAT IS THE TOTAL AREA OF FINAL HARVEST UNITS COMPLETED LAST YEAR BY OTHER METHODS - EXPLAIN - CANADA

No answer

TOTAL HARVEST NOT CLASSIFIED AS FINAL - CANADA

Total Harvest NOT Classified as Final: What was the total area of harvest units complete last year NOT classified as final harvest?

No answer

TOTAL HARVEST NOT CLASSIFIED AS FINAL - NESTED - CANADA

SEED TREE AND SHELTERWOOD - CANADA

No answer

SEED TREE AND SHELTERWOOD EXPLAIN - CANADA

No answer

SELECTION METHODS - CANADA

No answer

SELECTION METHODS EXPLAIN - CANADA

No answer

THINNING OR SANITATION SALVAGE - CANADA

No answer

THINNING OR SANITATION SALVAGE EXPLAIN - CANADA

No answer

OTHER METHODS - CANADA

No answer

OTHER METHODS EXPLAIN - CANADA

No answer

II. REFORESTATION

REFORESTATION

Activities and five year assessment for organizations managing forestlands. The following data is collected for your activities related to area under the scope of your SFI certification.

Replanting and Direct Seeding Timing. The replanting "clock" starts after the entire unit is harvested or the sale has been completed (see guidance under completed harvest units above). Do not include areas that were replanted due to poor seedling survival. "Failed plantation" data are ultimately captured in the five year regeneration success question.

No answer

REFORESTATION DATA FOR USA

REFORESTATION DATA FOR USA

	Within 1 year of final harvest	Within 2 years of final harvest	More than 2 years of final harvest	Total for 2020
Artificial - Planting	4614	9163	3628	17405
Artificial - Direct Seeding				0

WHAT WAS THE NATURAL REGENERATION IN 2020? - USA

What was the Natural Regeneration in 2020 (area)?

530

WHAT WAS THE PERCENT OF HARVEST UNITS REGENERATED AFTER 5 GROWING SEASONS? - USA

What was the percent of harvest units regenerated (natural, planted, and direct seeding) in 5 growing seasons?

99.9

WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AREA REGENERATED AFTER 5 GROWING SEASONS? - USA

What was the total area regenerated (natural, planted and direct seeding) in 5 growing seasons?

17385

REFORESTATION DATA FOR CANADA

REFORESTATION DATA FOR CANADA

	Within 1 year of final harvest	Within 2 years of final harvest	More than 2 years of final harvest	Total for 2020
Artificial - Planting	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Artificial - Direct Seeding	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

WHAT WAS THE NATURAL REGENERATION IN 2020? - CANADA

What was the Natural Regeneration in 2020 (area)?

No answer

	Outside USA	Units	Volume	% PEFC certified forest content	% FSC certified forest content	% Dual PEFC/FSC forest content	% SFI Fiber Sourcing	% Other
9	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
10	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

RAW MATERIAL SUPPLY - USA

RAW MATERIAL SUPPLY - FIBER PROCURED FROM THE USA

SFI asks all program participants with raw material supply to track and report the data by state. Raw material supply is fiber procured directly from the forest to your SFI manufacturing facilities.

Complete the raw material supply for each state you procure from.

SELECT EACH STATE YOU PROCURE FROM USING THE DROP-DOWN MENU BELOW.

CLICK ON THE STATE TO EXPAND/COLLAPSE THE TABLE.

No answer

USA - ADD NEW REGION

SELECT US STATE(S):

No answer

US STATE SECTION BEGIN

No answer

ALASKA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

ALASKA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Alaska - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Alaska - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

ALASKA - OTHER SOURCES

Alaska - Other Sources

No answer

ALABAMA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

ALABAMA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Alabama - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

ALABAMA - OTHER SOURCES

Alabama - Other Sources

No answer

ARKANSAS - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

ARKANSAS

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Arkansas - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

ARKANSAS - OTHER SOURCES

Arkansas - Other Sources

No answer

ARIZONA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

ARIZONA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Arizona - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

ARIZONA - OTHER SOURCES

Arizona - Other Sources

CALIFORNIA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

CALIFORNIA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	California - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

CALIFORNIA - OTHER SOURCES

California - Other Sources

No answer

COLORADO - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

COLORADO

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Colorado - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Colorado - Enter Reason Less than 1
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

COLORADO - OTHER SOURCES

Colorado - Other Sources

No answer

CONNECTICUT - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

CONNECTICUT

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Connecticut - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

CONNECTICUT - OTHER SOURCES

Connecticut - Other Sources

No answer

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	District of Columbia - Enter Reason
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	District of Columbia - Enter Reason
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA - OTHER SOURCES

District of Columbia - Other Sources

No answer

DELAWARE - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

DELAWARE

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Delaware - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

DELAWARE - OTHER SOURCES

Delaware - Other Sources

No answer

FLORIDA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

FLORIDA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Florida - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

FLORIDA - OTHER SOURCES

Florida - Other Sources

No answer

GEORGIA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

GEORGIA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Georgia - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

GEORGIA - OTHER SOURCES

Georgia - Other Sources

No answer

HAWAII - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

HAWAII

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Hawaii - Enter Reason Less than 100'
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

HAWAII - OTHER SOURCES

Hawaii - Other Sources

No answer

IDAHO - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

IDAHO

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Idaho - Enter Reason Less than 100'
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Idaho - Enter Reason Less than 100%
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

IDAHO - OTHER SOURCES

Idaho - Other Sources

No answer

IOWA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

IOWA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Iowa - Enter Reason Less than 100%
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

IOWA - OTHER SOURCES

Iowa - Other Sources

No answer

ILLINOIS - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

ILLINOIS

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	% from SFI only certified forests	%
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No

ILLINOIS - OTHER SOURCES

Illinois - Other Sources

No answer

INDIANA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

INDIANA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Indiana - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

INDIANA - OTHER SOURCES

Indiana - Other Sources

KANSAS - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

KANSAS

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Kansas - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

KANSAS - OTHER SOURCES

Kansas - Other Sources

No answer

KENTUCKY - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

KENTUCKY

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Kentucky - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Kentucky - Enter Reason Less than
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

KENTUCKY - OTHER SOURCES

Kentucky - Other Sources

No answer

LOUISIANA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

LOUISIANA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Louisiana - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

LOUISIANA- OTHER SOURCES

Louisiana- Other Sources

No answer

MASSACHUSETTS - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MASSACHUSETTS

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Massachusetts - Enter Reason Less
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Massachusetts - Enter Reason Less
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MASSACHUSETTS - OTHER SOURCES

Massachusetts - Other Sources

No answer

MARYLAND - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MARYLAND

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Maryland - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MARYLAND - OTHER SOURCES

Maryland - Other Sources

No answer

MAINE - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MAINE

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Maine - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MAINE - OTHER SOURCES

No answer

MICHIGAN - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MICHIGAN

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Michigan - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MICHIGAN - OTHER SOURCES

Michigan - Other Sources

No answer

MINNESOTA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MINNESOTA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Minnesota - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MINNESOTA - OTHER SOURCES

Minnesota - Other Sources

No answer

MISSOURI - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MISSOURI

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Missouri - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Missouri - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MISSOURI - OTHER SOURCES

Missouri - Other Sources

No answer

MISSISSIPPI - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MISSISSIPPI

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Mississippi - Enter Reason Less tha
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MISSISSIPPI - OTHER SOURCES

Mississippi - Other Sources

No answer

MONTANA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MONTANA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Montana - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MONTANA - OTHER SOURCES

Montana - Other Sources

No answer

NORTH CAROLINA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NORTH CAROLINA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	North Carolina - Enter Reason Less
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NORTH CAROLINA - OTHER SOURCES

North Carolina - Other Sources

NORTH DAKOTA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NORTH DAKOTA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	North Dakota - Enter Reason Less th
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NORTH DAKOTA - OTHER SOURCES

North Dakota - Other Sources

No answer

NEBRASKA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NEBRASKA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Nebraska - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Nebraska - Enter Reason Less than
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NEBRASKA - OTHER SOURCES

Nebraska - Other Sources

No answer

NEW HAMPSHIRE - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NEW HAMPSHIRE

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	New Hampshire - Enter Reason Less
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NEW HAMPSHIRE - OTHER SOURCES

New Hampshire - Other Sources

No answer

NEW JERSEY - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NEW JERSEY

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	New Jersey - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	New Jersey - Enter Reason Less tha
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NEW JERSEY - OTHER SOURCES

New Jersey - Other Sources

No answer

NEW MEXICO - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NEW MEXICO

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	New Mexico - Enter Reason Less tha
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NEW MEXICO - OTHER SOURCES

New Mexico - Other Sources

No answer

NEVADA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NEVADA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Nevada - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NEVADA - OTHER SOURCES

Nevada - Other Sources

No answer

NEW YORK - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NEW YORK

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	New York - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NEW YORK - OTHER SOURCES

New York - Other Sources

No answer

OHIO - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

OHIO

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Ohio - Enter Reason Less than 100%
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

OHIO - OTHER SOURCES

Ohio - Other Sources

No answer

OKLAHOMA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

OKLAHOMA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Oklahoma - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Oklahoma - Enter Reason Less than
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

OKLAHOMA - OTHER SOURCES

Oklahoma - Other Sources

No answer

OREGON - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

OREGON

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Oregon - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

OREGON - OTHER SOURCES

Oregon - Other Sources

No answer

PENNSYLVANIA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

PENNSYLVANIA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Pennsylvania - Enter Reason Less th
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

PENNSYLVANIA - OTHER SOURCES

Pennsylvania - Other Sources

No answer

RHODE ISLAND - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

RHODE ISLAND

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Rhode Island - Enter Reason Less th
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

RHODE ISLAND - OTHER SOURCES

Rhode Island - Other Sources

SOUTH CAROLINA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

SOUTH CAROLINA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	South Carolina - Enter Reason Less
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

SOUTH CAROLINA - OTHER SOURCES

South Carolina - Other Sources

No answer

SOUTH DAKOTA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

SOUTH DAKOTA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	South Dakota - Enter Reason Less t
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	South Dakota - Enter Reason Less th
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

SOUTH DAKOTA - OTHER SOURCES

South Dakota - Other Sources

No answer

TENNESSEE - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

TENNESSEE

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Tennessee - Enter Reason Less thar
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

TENNESSEE - OTHER SOURCES

Tennessee - Other Sources

No answer

TEXAS - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

TEXAS

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Texas - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Texas - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

TEXAS - OTHER SOURCES

Texas - Other Sources

No answer

UTAH - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

UTAH

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Utah - Enter Reason Less than 100%
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

UTAH - OTHER SOURCES

Utah - Other Sources

No answer

VIRGINIA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

VIRGINIA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Virginia - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

VIRGINIA - OTHER SOURCES

Virginia - Other Sources

No answer

VERMONT - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

VERMONT

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Vermont - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

VERMONT - OTHER SOURCES

No answer

WASHINGTON - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

WASHINGTON

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Washington - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

WASHINGTON - OTHER SOURCES

Washington - Other Sources

No answer

WISCONSIN- RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

WISCONSIN

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Wisconsin - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Wisconsin - Enter Reason Less than
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

WISCONSIN - OTHER SOURCES

Wisconsin - Other Sources

No answer

WEST VIRGINIA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

WEST VIRGINIA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	West Virginia - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

WEST VIRGINIA - OTHER SOURCES

West Virginia - Other Sources

No answer

WYOMING - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

WYOMING

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Wyoming - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - U.S. Federal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - All other U.S. public lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

WYOMING - OTHER SOURCES

Wyoming - Other Sources

No answer

STANDARD COMBINATION REPORTING VOLUME - US

	Standard	% Certified
1	No answer	No answer
2	No answer	No answer
3	No answer	No answer
4	No answer	No answer
5	No answer	No answer
6	No answer	No answer
7	No answer	No answer
8	No answer	No answer
9	No answer	No answer
10	No answer	No answer

PRIVATE FOREST LANDOWNERS - CANADA

TOTAL PRIVATE FOREST LANDOWNERS - CANADA

	Canada
Number of private forest landowners selling timber (stumpage, logs or chips) directly to your organization last year	No answer

OTHER COUNTRIES - CANADA

FIBER PROCURED FROM OUTSIDE CANADA

SFI Inc. is often asked for details on fiber supply and is interested in how much program participant fiber (used by manufacturing facilities in Canada that are covered in the scope of SFI certification) is procured from outside Canada. The SFI definition of procurement is: Acquisition of roundwood (sawlogs or pulpwood) and field-manufactured or primary-mill residual chips, pulp, and veneer to support a forest products manufacturing facility.

	Outside Canada	Units	Volume	% PEFC certified forest content	% FSC certified forest content	% Dual PEFC/FSC forest content	% SFI Fiber Sourcing	%
1	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
2	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
3	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
4	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
5	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
6	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
7	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
8	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
9	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc
10	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer	Nc

CANADA - ADD NEW REGION

RAW MATERIAL SUPPLY - FIBER PROCURED FROM CANADA

SFI asks all program participants with raw material supply to track and report the data by province. Raw material supply is fiber procured directly from the forest to your SFI manufacturing facilities.

Complete the raw material supply for each province you procure from.

SELECT EACH PROVINCE YOU PROCURE FROM USING THE DROP-DOWN MENU BELOW.

CLICK ON THE PROVINCE TO EXPAND/COLLAPSE THE TABLE.

No answer

CANADA PROV SECTION BEGIN

No answer

ALBERTA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

ALBERTA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Alberta - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

ALBERTA - OTHER SOURCES

Alberta - Other Sources

No answer

BRITISH COLUMBIA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

BRITISH COLUMBIA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	British Columbia - Enter Reason Les
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

BRITISH COLUMBIA - OTHER SOURCES

No answer

MANITOBA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

MANITOBA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Manitoba - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

MANITOBA - OTHER SOURCES

Manitoba - Other Sources

No answer

NEW BRUNSWICK - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NEW BRUNSWICK

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	New Brunswick - Enter Reason Less
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	New Brunswick - Enter Reason Less
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NEW BRUNSWICK - OTHER SOURCES

New Brunswick - Other Sources

No answer

NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Newfoundland and Labrador - Enter
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR - OTHER SOURCES

Newfoundland and Labrador - Other Sources

No answer

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Northwest Territories - Enter Reason
--	-------	--------	--	--------------------------------------

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Northwest Territories - Enter Reason
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES - OTHER SOURCES

Northwest Territories - Other Sources

No answer

NOVA SCOTIA - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NOVA SCOTIA

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Nova Scotia - Enter Reason Less than
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NOVA SCOTIA - OTHER SOURCES

Nova Scotia - Other Sources

NUNAVUT - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

NUNAVUT

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Nunavut - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

NUNAVUT - OTHER SOURCES

Nunavut - Other Sources

No answer

ONTARIO - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

ONTARIO

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Ontario - Enter Reason Less than 10
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Ontario - Enter Reason Less than 10
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

ONTARIO - OTHER SOURCES

Ontario - Other Sources

No answer

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Prince Edward Island - Enter Reason
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND - OTHER SOURCES

Prince Edward Island - Other Sources

No answer

QUEBEC - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

QUEBEC

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Quebec - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Quebec - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

QUEBEC - OTHER SOURCES

Quebec - Other Sources

No answer

SASKATCHEWAN - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

SASKATCHEWAN

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Saskatchewan - Enter Reason Less than 1
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

SASKATCHEWAN - OTHER SOURCES

Saskatchewan - Other Sources

No answer

YUKON - RAW MATERIAL SOURCES

YUKON

	Units	Volume	% Delivered by Qualified Logging Professionals	Yukon - Enter Reason Less than 100
Private - Fee and long-term lease	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from TIMOs & REITs	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from family forest owners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from Aboriginal/Tribal lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - Direct purchase from conservation lands	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Private - All other direct purchase from private landowners	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Public - Non-controlled Crown land	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer
Other Sources	No answer	No answer	No answer	No answer

YUKON - OTHER SOURCES

Yukon - Other Sources

No answer

STANDARD COMBINATION REPORTING VOLUME - CANADA

	Standard	% Certified
1	No answer	No answer
2	No answer	No answer
3	No answer	No answer
4	No answer	No answer
5	No answer	No answer
6	No answer	No answer
7	No answer	No answer
8	No answer	No answer
9	No answer	No answer
10	No answer	No answer

I. SFI IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEES FUNDING

SFI IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEES FUNDING

Funding provided last year for SFI Implementation Committee activities at the state or provincial level (Support for US SICs in \$US. Support for Canadian SICs in \$C)

II. RESEARCH FUNDING

RESEARCH FUNDING

Participants are required to support forest research to improve forest health, productivity, and sustainable management of forest resources, and the environmental benefits and performance of forest products. Complete the following table with research funding dollars spent to meet this requirement.

Forest health, productivity, and ecosystem functions
Chemical efficiency, use rate and integrated pest management
Water quality and/or effectiveness of best management practices including effectiveness of water quality and best management practices for protecting the quality of water
Wildlife management at stand and landscape levels
Conservation of biological diversity
Ecological impacts of bioenergy feedstock removals on productivity, wildlife habitat, water quality and other ecosystem functions
Climate change research for both adaptation and mitigation
Forest operations efficiencies and economics
Energy efficiency
Life cycle assessment
Avoidance of illegal logging
Avoidance of controversial sources
Other

SECT 5-2 PRELOAD INDICATOR

preload

IS YOUR ORGANIZATION CURRENTLY INVOLVED IN ANY CONSERVATION PARTNERSHIPS?

RESEARCH, CONSERVATION, EDUCATION AND COMMUNITY PROJECTS AND/OR PARTNERSHIPS

Is your organization currently involved in any research, conservation, education, and/or community projects and/or partnerships with non-profit organizations, academic institutions or government agencies? These could include, but are not limited to, research or direct implementation of any type of resource or biological conservation activities.

Yes

PROJECTS - PLEASE EXPLAIN

Please Explain

No answer

PROJECTS

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 1	Cooperative Agreement # 93-100574 (South Puget Sound Region)	To address and create better awareness of the problem of dumping and littering on public lands, waterways, and scenic areas in WA, and to implement prevention measures and educational efforts to keep these lands healthy.	To provide technical services, trash clean-up, and small structure removal activities in Tiger Mountain State Forest and Tiger Mountain State Forest.
Project 2	Cooperative Agreement #93-100760 (South Puget Sound Region)	Assist in acquiring property in the West Tiger Mountain Natural Resources Conservation Area.	To provide technical services and assist in acquiring property in the West Tiger Mountain Natural Resources Conservation Area by purchasing an adjacent parcel, conveying a conservation easement to King County, and transferring the property to DNR to be included in the NRCA.
Project 3	Interlocal Agreement #93-100446 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance and improve multiple uses of public lands by constructing new and improving existing river adjacent facilities along the Middle Fork Snoqualmie River.	Establish a framework for implementing a RCO grant involving completing improvements at Champion Beach and Granite Creek Flats, as well as constructing the Dahlgren Falls Trailhead.
Project 4	WO# 2020-001/Master Agreement #93-100862 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance and improve recreation at Tiger Mountain State Forest and West Tiger Mountain NRCA.	Develop .60 miles of non-motorized trail within Tiger Mountain State Forest, remove an abandoned vehicle, and assist with the installation of three recreational trail bridge structures at Trailhead.
Project 5	WO# 2020-003/Master Agreement #93-100862 (South Puget Sound Region)	Control the invasive species located on a DNR parcel in King County.	Control 150 square feet of purple loosestrife located on the northeast corner of parcel 2024069075.
Project 6	WO# 2020-002/Master Agreement #93-100861 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance and improve recreation at Woodard Bay Natural Resources Conservation Area.	Perform trail maintenance renovation efforts along 1.5 miles of an existing hiking trail at Woodard Bay NRCA. This includes removing, hauling, and off-site disposal of stairs and benches, development of trail re-routes and trail renovation segments, decommissioning and removal of abandoned trail alignments, and trail hardening and gravel surfacing of non-surfaced trail segments.
Project 7	WO# 2020-003/Master Agreement #93-100833 (South Puget Sound Region)	To provide a safer and improved user experience for recreationists.	Complete a short missing trail segment (1'100 feet) between two completed segments of the Woodard Bay Trail.

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 8	Land Use License #60-WS1103 (South Puget Sound Region)	To participate in a nationwide study of coyote boldness behavior.	Quantify coyote boldness in the Puget Sound region by placing cameras and then monitor, remove and relocate if needed.
Project 9	Land Use License # 60-WS1105 (South Puget Sound Region)	Conduct a study to estimate and monitor black bear densities.	Construct and monitor temporary bait stations designed to collect hair samples, remove the bait stations prior to License expiration.
Project 10	Quileute Tribe Cedar Bark Gathering	Tribal gathering of cedar bark	Gathering Cedar bark for processing and drying. Bark will be used for making ba resources.
Project 11	Hoh Tribe Cedar Bark Gathering	Tribal gathering of cedar bark	Gathering Cedar bark for processing and drying. Bark will be used for making ba resources.
Project 12	Striped Peak Trail Extension (Olympic / Recreation)	Extend existing trail to create a loop	The current Striped Peak Trail is a 2.5-mile trail that starts and ends at two differ the user's experience we are working to connect the end with the start and form miles to the hike and feature new attractions and viewpoints along the way.
Project 13	Lyre River Campground signage (Olympic / Recreation)	Post informational signage about area	Worked with the Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe (Wendy Sampson) to develop a cultu of the history of humans throughout time in and around the Lyre River. The sign i in the campground.
Project 14	Assessing the role of non-federal lands in demographic support of the Northern Spotted Owl in Washington State: a spatial analysis	To understand how incentive-based conservation approaches on non-federal lands may contribute to the recovery and conservation of the federally listed Northern Spotted Owl.	In 2010, the Washington State Forest Practices Board convened the Northern Sp Team, comprised of stakeholder representatives. That team was directed to imp recommendations, including identifying innovative, incentive-based strategies to spotted owl habitat on non-federal lands in Washington. This overall initiative ge development and release of the 2008 and 2011 versions of the federal spotted o 2008, 2011). Indeed, stakeholder participants in Washington promoted incentive conservation and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service acknowledged such strategie in the recovery plan (USFWS 2011, page III-57). Because the U.S. Fish and Wildlif and used a new and more powerful model to inform the recovery planning proce Habitat designation (USFWS 2011, 2012), the Northern Spotted Owl Implementa the same tool to assess landscape areas where incentive-based conservation w spotted owls in Washington. Subsequently, the Northern Spotted Owl Implement team of biologists to work with modelers to assess the spatial and temporal allc conservation efforts on non-federal lands.
Project 15	Down Scaling Climate Change Models (ENG, Forest Roads)	Provide a climate change runoff factor for the design of non-fish culverts	Working with UW Climate Impacts Group determine a grid factor that can be use warming climate when designing the diameter of culverts in non-fish streams.
Project 16	Sauk River Watershed Knotweed Control Project (NW Region)	Noxious and invasive weed control	Systematic control of noxious and invasive weeds, primarily knotweed, in the Sa
Project 17	Snohomish County Public Works Noxious Weed Control and Surface Water Management (DNR NW Region)	Protection of surface water.	The Public Works Department of Snohomish County is engaged in noxious and i along County rights of way and ecological lands; and in revegetating treated area
Project 18	Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board (LCFRB) Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	Recommend projects to LCFRB for funding	As a member of the TAC, I review potential stream restoration and fish habitat er recommend projects to the LCFRB for funding.

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 19	Land Use License #60-WS1129 (South Puget Sound Region)	Manage an environmental educational program.	To continue managing an environmental education program at the Kennedy Cree overflow parking area that DNR recently purchased from this partner. This involves maintenance, maintenance of other trail structures such as viewing platforms, etc., placing and removing temporary signage and other educational accoutrements, and bathrooms. Guided tours by trained trail docents and two annual fundraising events on the premises.
Project 20	Cross-Ownership Sustainable Recreation and Public/Private Partnerships in stewardship	Cross-Ownership Sustainable Recreation and Public/Private Partnerships in stewardship	The large landowners in Kittitas County have partnered with conservation groups to ensure a more sustainable model for recreation management can be achieved. This is towards sustainable levels of recreation, meeting restoration objectives and educating on the importance of watershed protection, shared stewardship of recreation on public lands, resource sharing, and strengthening the bond between the recreation user and the land.
Project 21	Land Use License #60-WS1131 (South Puget Sound Region)	Survey for and treat/remove knotweed and other noxious weeds.	Survey for, treat, and/or remove knotweed species and other noxious weeds along various DNR parcels in Kitsap and Mason Counties.
Project 22	Forest fires in western Cascadia: Evaluating drivers and effects to inform climate-adaptive management responses (Forest Resources Division)	1-To identify the critical weather thresholds that have supported westside wildfires in the last 150 years and determine if the potential to exceed those thresholds change with a changing climate in the future. 2-To study how post-fire recovery dynamics are affected by topographic-climatic conditions, burn patch size, forest type, and pre-fire management history, and examine the range of options for post-fire climate adaptation.	As our climate changes, people are becoming increasingly worried about wildfire risk in the western United States, and the risk fire poses to human communities and forest resources. Because of the increasing frequency and intensity of wildfires in the last century in western Washington and northwestern Oregon, it is important to understand how climate change affects wildfire potential and how forest management can be adapted to reduce risk. Historical records show that very large wildfires were a major force in shaping the landscape and suggest they will continue to be highly impactful in a warming future. One goal of this project is to improve our knowledge of how future climate change will affect the potential for large and early 1900s) in western Washington and northwestern Oregon. We are using historical and future climate models to quantify the extreme weather conditions (e.g., late season winds) that enable fires to burn and how these will change in the future. A secondary goal is to measure where two valuable forest resources (trees and huckleberry) are currently burned areas. Through our research, we will gain knowledge of forest management practices that promote forest resilience in a changing climate. We will also improve our understanding of post-fire management and forest resilience by measuring vegetation recovery within fires where: 1) warmer/drier vs. cooler/wetter conditions exist, and 2) different management practices were used before the fire. Through established partnerships with federal agencies and partners, we will co-develop scientific insights and resources that improve our understanding of future wildfire potential and forest resilience in western Washington and northwestern Oregon.
Project 23	Rethinking the meaning of wildfire area burned: implications for restoration of fire-dependent forests	To estimate how much land area would need to be burned/treated at a given severity per year if each forest type was to receive fire (or other treatment) at the rates that historically maintained them.	Forests of the American West have experienced substantial increases in area burned over the last few decades, owing to a combination of warming climate and decades of fuel buildup from other land uses. Several record-breaking fire years – often dubbed the “worst” fire years – have added urgency to calls for restoration of fire-dependent forests. Restoration efforts generally aim to move forest structure toward more fire-resilient conditions through the density and continuity of fuels (live and dead vegetation biomass) via mechanical thinning, prescribed burning, or managing natural wildfires. Recent studies have begun to illustrate the formidable scope of this task. Typical estimates of the absolute number of acres currently in need of treatment due to altered forest structure range from 10 to 100 million acres, or as desired treatment frequencies (striving to match historic fire intervals). We posit that, instead, the most useful way to understand the need for restoration of fire-dependent forests is through the lens of annual area burned (or otherwise treated) land area that would have been “treated” each year, on average, under historical conditions. Using the peer-reviewed literature to identify minimum and average historical fire intensity (under historical conditions), we are estimating the minimum and average area that has been burned at low, moderate and high severity across eastern Oregon and Washington dry, northwestern Oregon. We are also developing locally calibrated burn severity maps spanning of 1984-2017 contemporary minimum and average area burned by severity and forest type. By comparing historical and contemporary data, we will identify similarities and differences in annual area burned across the region. Crucially, we will also use the analysis to determine if we are getting the right ‘kind’ of fire. The severity distribution of contemporary fires similar to historical severity distributions can help managers move beyond just catching up on the current backlog of area burned. The restoration issue is not simply a mountain to climb – it is a continual trend that we need to keep pace with.
Project 24			Development of a seed orchard specializing in the production of Douglas-fir seed sources.
Project 25			Development of a seed orchard in Pend Oreille County specializing in production and Douglas-fir seed for NE Region planting program.
Project 26			Development of a NE Region seed orchard specializing in the production of western larch seed sources.
Project 27			Development of a NE Region seed orchard specializing in the production of white fir seed sources.
Project 28			Development of a seed orchard specializing in the production of Douglas-fir seed sources.
Project 29			Development of a seed orchard specializing in the production of western larch seed sources.

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 30			Establish western white pine progeny test sites to evaluate amount and type of pine blister rust and tree survival and growth. Selections were made throughout interior of British Columbia. Three sites situated in Northeast Region.
Project 31			Establish a Whitebark pine progeny test site to evaluate amount and type of pine blister rust and tree survival and growth.
Project 32			This installation is part of ongoing nutrient management research involving the € plots on recently harvested sites using bole-only and whole-tree harvesting in co final harvest stands. In addition, a wide array of post-harvest silvicultural treatme preparation variations (slash treatment and prescribed burning), “weed and/or fe various levels of biomass utilization (retention or removal) are being studied. Ear affect a site’s nutrient status and therefore its productivity. In the core experime plots, each classified by level of site disturbance and slash retention, were locate general bole-only and whole-tree harvest treatment units.
Project 33			Part of a large (100-150 plot) regional study investigating precommercial thinnin selection and site quality.
Project 34			Establish plots in areas burned by 2014 Carlton Fire to study seedling survival ar resulting from Fall and Spring planting with and without a herbicide spot treatme
Project 35			Establish plots in areas burned by 2015 wildfires to study seedling survival and c resulting from planting with and without herbicide application treatments.
Project 36	North American Snowshoe Hare Population Assessment (Northeast Region)	Compare snowshoe hare densities and patterns of population cycling across their range in North America.	Establishment of 50+ pellet transects at study sites that range from New York to monitored annually to determine snowshoe hare densities and compare patterns: between northern and southern latitudes. The Loomis State Forest is one of six :
Project 37	Predator/Prey Research Project (Northeast Region)	Understand interactions between wolves/cougars/bobcats/coyotes/deer/elk/moose	Radio collar each species and determine predation rates and interactions to dete population impacts.
Project 38			Master’s thesis project using snowshoe hare pellet transect data, remote camera mark/recapture and LIDAR to determine hare densities and identify high quality f State Forest within Lynx Management Zones.
Project 39			Annual event at which DNR scientists conducting research and monitoring proje findings on a broad range of topics. The 2019 talks included silviculture research microclimate monitoring, stream nutrients, social science, and the application of techniques in forest management. 100 people attended the 2019 conference inc foresters, land managers, educators, environmental organizations, and the public recorded and available on DNR YouTube channel.
Project 40	Biennial electronic newsletter “The Learning Forest” (Forest Resources Division and Olympic Region)	Communicate new information about forest management to DNR managers and employees, other land managers in the Pacific Northwest, the research community, and the general public. Encourage collaboration with potential research partners and local land managers on research and monitoring projects and to attract financial support. Raise awareness of the OESF within DNR and demonstrate its relevance to DNR’s management of state trust lands.	The newsletter is published jointly by the DNR and the University of Washington Resources Center. The target audience includes the following: - DNR staff, includ foresters, biologists, and others involved in forest management of state trust lan Peninsula and across Washington. - School of Environmental and Forest Science students, faculty, and staff - Conservation groups, forest products industries, loc policy makers - Other land managers on the Olympic Peninsula and throughout t Research partners such as the University of Washington, The Evergreen State Cc College - Policy makers and elected officials Currently, the newsletter has 150+ s DNR and UW distribution channels. Each newsletter issue includes: - Featured sc a current OESF and/or ONRC research or monitoring project - Guest article highli being done by other organizations on the peninsula or coastal Washington - Upd ongoing, long-term projects - A listing of upcoming events including lectures, fiel webinars, presentations, or other events relevant to the OESF or its research topi
Project 41	Status and Trends Monitoring of Aquatic and Riparian Habitat in the OESF (Forest Resources Division and Olympic Region)	Provide empirical data to evaluate DNR’s progress in meeting the HCP riparian conservation objectives and to reduce uncertainties around the integration of habitat conservation and timber production.	This long-term monitoring project documents the changes in riparian habitat as watersheds sustainably, which helps assess potential cumulative impacts of DN restoration of salmonid freshwater habitat on state lands. The project informs D revenue production and ecological values, specifically, it assesses DNR’s experir “integrated management”. Information on habitat quality in managed landscape: monitoring requirement and necessary component to meet the HCP validation r The study’s main hypothesis is that the riparian conservation strategy, implemen natural processes of succession and disturbance to improve habitat conditions i over time.

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 42	Riparian Validation Monitoring (Forest Resources Division and Olympic Region)	To assess cause and effect relationships between salmonids, stream habitat, and DNR forest management	This long-term monitoring project was designed to meet the department's comm Validation monitoring under the HCP. Specifically, it will use habitat data from the Monitoring of Riparian and Aquatic Habitat" program along with salmonid monit impacts of current management strategies on salmonids. If effects from manag detected, we will modify sampling to assess cause and effect relationships betw and management and recommend measures to reduce negative effects. The ma 1. Are current management practices affecting stream habitat and salmonids? 2 practices continuing to affect salmonids? 3. What are the major within-basin nat and can these drivers explain differences in habitat and salmonids? 4. Are globa such as climate change, Pacific Decadal Oscillation and ocean harvest affecting observational study approach is designed to be adaptive, so that information col salmonids, and collection methods can be used to modify and strengthen the m all basins have been initially sampled and on a six-year rotation thereafter, inform monitoring efforts will be used to evaluate the feasibility and likely success of ex Expected outcomes 1. Document the status, trends, and variability of salmonid p Determine the best methods for defining salmonid conditions within the OESF. 3 negative effects on salmonids from current DNR management practices and dev to further evaluate cause and effect relationships. 4. Evaluate potential negative relationships between current DNR management practices, riparian habitat, and recommend changes to DNR management practices to mitigate any negative efi collaboration with the WRIA 21 lead entity to provide expertise on stream and ha
Project 43	Large Scale Integrated Management Experiment in the (OESF, Forest Resources Division and Olympic Region)	To assess cause and effect relationships between salmonids, The study will compare the integrated management strategy of the OESF Forest Land Plan to alternative forest management strategies.	The central overarching question of the study is: Will a higher sustainable level o community wellbeing emerge from an array of land management strategies imp across the OESF landscape? Before-after-control-impact management experime management strategies and a no-action control will be compared across a 16 sr The experimental watersheds with a total area of more than 20,000 ac were sele management strategies represent different level of integration of revenue produ harvest) and ecological values (mainly habitat conservation). One strategy includ management as described in the OESF Forest Land Plan. The other two strategie integration of revenue production and ecological values than described in the fo replicated experimental treatments in upland and riparian areas will be organiz and administered by Olympic Region. Ecological, economic, social, and operati experimental treatments will be monitored over the long term using field and ren Reducing uncertainties of largely untested integrated management approaches ' adaptive management. Broad stakeholder's involvement is expected to build tru perception of DNR activities. The project is led by researchers from DNR and Uni DNR and UW developed the study proposal in 2016 with input from a diverse gro Researchers from the University of Wash-ington, US Forest Service Pacific Nort NOAA Fisheries, DNR, and other organiza-tions are collaborating to develop mul expected to be submitted for peer review in 2020.
Project 44	Using Passive Acoustic Monitoring to Evaluate Sustainability of Forest Management in the 21st Century (Forest Resources Division and Olympic Region)	Evaluate how habitat quality, diversity, and function, indicated by the occupancy rate of key bird species, change in response to different forest management practices. Results will help DNR determine if its upland habitat conservation strategies are effective.	Researchers from DNR and the University of Washington will work with voluntee sound recordings of several bird species and pair them with forest habitat surve implemented in the OESF across 16 watersheds designated for experimentation practices. This project received a grant from the EarthWatch Institute and will be science project. Volunteers in the first of six annual expeditions are expected to Researchers have completed field reconnaissance of prospective sampling loca ranges of three models of recording units to select the most appropriate equipm plan for peer review.
Project 45	Safety, productivity and environmental impacts of cable-assisted steep slope harvesting (Forest Resources Division and Olympic Region)	Investigate the operational feasibility, workers' safety, environmental impact, and economic efficiency of cable-assisted harvesting.	Cable-assisted or "tethered" mechanized harvesting has recently been introduce and is rapidly being adopted by forest industries. Researchers from Oregon State this system to conventional, manual tree felling with cable yarding. Study objecti disturbance of each harvesting scenario; • Quantify the differences in the capaci between the two harvesting scenarios; • Quantify a potential increase in yarding bunching trees after mechanized felling; and • Quantify the likelihood of hazard € harvesting systems to address potential improvements in workers' safety. Using can do a cost-benefit analysis of productivity and operational costs (including la make an informed choice about timber harvesting techniques, given the estimat The experiment will be implemented as part of a timber sale sold in July 2019 in State Forest Oregon State University research staff will conduct pre-treatment sa collection during logging operations in 2020.
Project 46	Land Use License #60-WS0754 (South Puget Sound Region)	To conduct educational geologic tours	Ongoing program on Tiger Mountain to take grade school field trips, adult trips, F Scouts, and children's birthday parties on tours to rock pits and other significant for minerals.
Project 47	Land Use License #60-WS1109 (South Puget Sound Region)	Improve elk habitat	To create forest edge openings & remove downed trees to enhance wildlife mob property east of North Bend. In August 2019, our Snoqualmie Unit Forester also present details of an upcoming timber sale in their License area.

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 48			To conduct research on elk groups through a combination of volunteers and staff for the purpose of monitoring the distribution and evaluating the apparent prevalence of associated hoof disease.
Project 49			The study and management of gray wolves consistent with the Gray Wolf Conservation Plan for Washington. Wolves will be tranquilized using tranquilizer darts shot from traps via live capture traps, fit with radio collars and released. Survival/statistics will be tracked.
Project 50	Interagency Agreement #93-100884 (South Puget Sound Region)	To maintain this working forest and a clean water supply.	To provide continued cooperation to ensure production of high quality water from the Watershed and support the land management objectives of the Watershed landowners.
Project 51	Biosolid application effectiveness (SPS Region)	Determine effects of biosolids on Douglas-fir plantations to determine appropriate rates that maximize productivity.	Use different application rates of biosolids on Douglas-fir plantations to determine which rate maximizes productivity.
Project 52	Fire History Study (SPS Region)	Determine fire history of the Marckworth State Forest	Use pollen spores recovered from sediment samples taken from wetlands to determine plant community changes over centuries. This data will allow researchers to determine the chronological fire history of the area.
Project 53	Green River College capstone project (SPS Region)	Forest Management projects to achieve student's capstone class requirements for 4-year BAS Natural Resources degree.	Students select type of project, plan how to achieve project and present completed project to GRC staff. Works with DNR staff minimally to obtain direction and expectations. In December 2019, our Snoqualmie Unit Forester met with students to discuss field work expectations for an upcoming timber sale.
Project 54	Forest Internship program (SPS Region)	To provide students from local colleges with accredited Natural Resource programs a program to develop relevant industry skills and gain experience.	Natural Resource program students at Green River or Grays Harbor College will volunteer with DNR staff, engineers, cruisers, wildlife biologist and recreation staff to develop skills and knowledge in the management of State Trust Lands in the Timber Sales and Public Use programs in the South Puget Sound Region.
Project 55	Grays Harbor College Natural Resource Advisory Committee (South Puget Sound Region)	Represent DNR for the Forestry program at Grays Harbor College.	1. The Black Hills District Manager serves on the Advisory Committee for the Forestry program at Grays Harbor College. Specific responsibilities include: • Attend bi-annual meetings • help develop the program by generating new ideas, making suggestions and promoting construction in the program • study the problem under consideration and help to reach a consensus • provide advice, opinions, time and energy on planning, development and implementation • and to improve the instructional efforts • communicate/provide expertise for special projects • provide advice on transportation design to be built into the coursework • guide the program so that it meets the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary to enter the workforce • identify and verify occupational competencies • recommend new technologies to include in the program • provide job shadowing, internships or cooperative work experiences; conduct interviews and provide information on opportunities • increase community awareness of professional-technical education • provide back-to-industry opportunities for instructional development • advocate for a quality education
Project 56			Several different DNR programs gave a short overview of their programs to Grays Harbor College Introduction to Forestry students.
Project 57	Green River College Mentor Night (SPS Region)	To familiarize natural resource college students to positions in the industry	Various DNR staff attend Green River College Mentor night for the Natural Resource program and present their position responsibilities.
Project 58	Land Use License #60-WS0817 (South Puget Sound Region)	Measure ground water levels	To install and operate a monitoring station at an existing well site to continually monitor groundwater levels. USGS will install, use, and maintain one stream gauging station, one GPS station, and one maximum 12-foot tall mast on which a solar panel and GPS antenna are mounted.
Project 59	Land Use License #60-WS0830 (South Puget Sound Region)	Operation and maintenance of an existing rain gauge and apparatus	Operation and maintenance of equipment installed in 2012 under a Pacific Cascade License at the Cedar Creek Corrections Facility. This includes a single metal pole, less than 4 feet deep in concrete, with a rain gauge, antenna, solar panel, and locked in the pole.
Project 60	Land Use License #60-093901 (Olympic, South Puget Sound, Pacific Cascade, Northwest, Northeast, and Southeast Regions)	Collect data for the USFS Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) Program, Phase 2 and Phase 3 Monitoring.	A statewide blanket long-term license has been issued for this annual program of forest inventory work using 2-3 person field crews who visit established inventory points. The program includes status and trends in forest area and location; species, size and health of trees; mortality and removals in harvest; wood production and utilization rates by various ownership; understory vegetation, downed woody materials, and water proximity.

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 73	Land Use License #60-WS0983 (South Puget Sound Region)	Conduct outdoor programs.	To conduct YMCA-sponsored outdoor programs including Teen Outdoor Leader Corps with local schools, Family Outdoors Program, and Summer Camps. Programs include hiking, rock-climbing, and snowshoeing.
Project 74	Land Use License #60-WS0989 (South Puget Sound Region)	Estimate and monitor black bear populations and the impacts of various management actions.	Conduct research on black bear, including placing hair snare traps. Primary objective is to monitor black bear populations and the impacts of various management actions.
Project 75			The purpose of this project is to construct a new roadside shuttle stop and waiting overflow parking lot so that Trailhead Direct can provide service to this popular trailhead. King County Metro expanded their new Trailhead Direct program to provide alternative transportation for the region's growing population with a shuttle service to some trailheads in the county including Mount Si, Mount Teneriffe, Mailbox Peak and surrounding areas around the city of Issaquah. DNR is constructing this new shuttle stop at the request based on input from surveys of last year's shuttle riders.
Project 76			To maintain and renovate trails within the West Tiger Mountain NRCA. WTA will recruit and supervise a large volume of public volunteers. They will also ensure volunteers are trained and train volunteers to use the proper personal protective equipment, and coordinate media announcements related to the project with DNR.
Project 77			The purpose of this agreement is to implement reforestation, clean-up and decommissioning of structures on acquired Camp Hahobas property in the West Tahuya State Forest, including removing hazardous materials survey and abatement, performing septic pumping, and decommissioning structures located on the property.
Project 78			The purpose of this agreement is to provide technical services on the newly acquired property (Property) in the West Tiger Mountain NRCA and perform an asbestos survey/phase I report.
Project 79			The purpose of this agreement is to provide technical services on the newly acquired property (Property) in the West Tiger Mountain NRCA including trash clean-up, abandoned asbestos abatement, septic pumping, underground oil tank decommissioning, and other structures.
Project 80	Interagency Agreement #93-099397 (South Puget Sound Region)	Future improvement of West Tiger Mountain NRCA and Tradition Plateau.	The purpose of this agreement is to complete a site analysis and exploration of potential future improvement of public access, connections, and facilities for environmental and nature play on West Tiger Mountain and Tradition Plateau and to explore how they can be developed as a Gateway to the Snoqualmie Corridor. In 2018, DNR, in partnership with the City of Issaquah, obtained a grant entitled 'Snoqualmie Corridor Gateway Facility' from the Washington Recreation and Conservation Office for public use planning on public forests located within King County.
Project 81			The Healthy Lands Project aims to have lands within the Land Conservation Initiative be free of significant impacts from noxious weeds. The Rattlesnake has 4 parcels, totaling 26.4 acres, owned by DNR that were recently logged and are now a landowner. The land is set to a restoration trajectory but noxious weeds are starting to take hold. Early intervention of noxious weed control will allow forest succession to take place and reduce impacts from noxious weed impacts.
Project 82	Work Order #93-099396 (South Puget Sound Region)	Cultural Resource assessment and monitoring of the Woodard Bay NRCA trail improvement project.	DNR has contracted Aqua Terra Cultural Resource Consultants to request assistance with resource assessment for the proposed Woodard Bay NRCA trail reroutes. Five reroutes have been proposed and this project will address the cultural resource services for the proposed trail routes. The purpose of the trail reroutes is to 1/ avoid wet and sensitive areas; 2/ improve boardwalks and steps; 3/ improve maintenance access; 4/ increase sustainability; and 5/ Add interest.
Project 83			To conduct a rapid watershed assessment of the Tahuya River Watershed. This includes field observations and measurements in-stream or within the riparian zone of the Tahuya River.
Project 84	Land Use License #60-WS1023 (South Puget Sound Region)	Hold outdoor courses and clinics.	To offer navigation, hiking, climbing, snowshoeing, rescue methods, trail running, waterfall canyoning, and other outdoor classes and courses to the public on DNR lands in the SPS Region.
Project 85			Project involves collecting 50 adult rough-skinned newts (<i>Taricha granulosa</i>) in their natural habitats by hand and/or with dip nets and/or minnow funnel traps, for a graduate-level study on the effects of Bsal under the approval of and following WDFW guidelines. Targeted throughout Capitol State Forest with the exception of the Mima Mounds NAP.
Project 86			To hold a "Students in the Watershed" education program related to forestry and youth (fourth graders) from local elementary schools being taught by high school students at the River Horse Camp in partnership with DNR.
Project 87			To conduct training for the 2019 stream survey which includes sampling fish, amphibians, macroinvertebrates, and algae in Waddell Creek as part of the Watershed Health Program. Chemical and physical habitat data will also be gathered.
Project 88			Research project to assess the population levels of western spruce budworm in the Mount Rainier Mountain Range. Activities include surveying the location, hanging plastic traps from branches to attract and trap adult moths, monitoring the traps and removing them from the study.

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 89			Sponsor an educational two-day 'Explore the Fjord' day camp at Green Mountain learning about DNR, forestry, recreation, and aquatics/hydrography.
Project 90			Up to 28 Freshwater Ecology students and two instructors will access one or two freshwater snorkel surveys. Activities include surveying contiguous ten-meter seafloor, full snorkeling gear and identifying fish and other invert species.
Project 91	Land Use License #60-WS1071 (South Puget Sound Region)	To precisely date the flooding of the forest/damming of Price Lake and to contextualize the earthquake hazards in the area.	Using high precision geochronology to precisely date the flooding of the Hood C Forest/damming of Price Lake and to contextualize the earthquake hazards in the sampling equipment, samples were taken from the base of drowned trees stand
Project 92			Provide GRCC forestry students with examples of Silviculture options in success to PCT. Students were shown examples of recent treatments and evaluated stand involved discussions on timber harvest, forest land management practices and s
Project 93	Green River Industry Roundtable and Curriculum Review (SPS Region & Forest Resources)	Review current curriculum and give input on future changes to curriculum for the four year BS Forestry program.	About a dozen representatives from industry and 6-8 Green River admin staff and Port Blakely Headquarters to discuss the current 4 year curriculum and give input that and what might be missing or need more focus. Basically, discussing what the perfect entry level forester going through a four year program.
Project 94			I (Paul Footen) was one of three people from different types of resource management that the UW Xi Sigma Pi (forestry honors society) sponsored for students in natural resource programs. We each gave a brief description of the type of work we do and what some of our jobs are. We also took questions from the audience. We spent about 3 hours had pizza afterward and continued to network and interact with students and faculty
Project 95			I (Paul Footen) took two separate (four hour long) field trips of Green River College (30 total students) to several locations on Tiger Mountain discussing soils and DNR
Project 96			I (Paul Footen) assist in teaching a WA native plant ID course that is taught at Bellevue by my friend and former professor of mine, Michael Hanson. I help out with instruction with a heavy forestry perspective while also promoting DNR forest management etc. I assist about once a week (for 10-12 weeks) on Fridays and during the week I have been doing this off and on for the past 10 years.
Project 97	Muckleshoot Annual Timber Sale Planning and Access Meeting (SPS Region)	Outreach, update, educate, answer questions	I (Paul Footen) met with Muckleshoot Tribe at their offices along with my colleagues, Adams, Lee Roach, Laurie Benson and Andrew Reed. We discussed recent timber harvests in the Rainier District along with any access issues that have come up and what changes we can make moving forward. We brought maps of the forest and Black Diamond and Elbe Units which comprise the Rainier Dist. And we answered questions during a breakout after the first part of the meeting had concluded.
Project 98	UW Forest Ecology Course Guest Lecture/Field Tour (SPS Region)	Outreach, education, answer questions	I (Paul Footen) took two groups of about 15 UW students in each group from a Forest Ecology field tours of Tiger Mountain that lasted about five hours each tour. We discussed variable retention harvesting along with RFRS thinnings and other DNR forest management practices, SHC, etc... I have been doing this annually since 2015.
Project 99	GRC Conflict Management Course Guest Lecture/Field Tour (SPS Region)	Outreach, education, answer questions	I (Paul Footen) took one group of 30 Green River College students from a Conflict Management a four hour field trip/tour of Tiger Mountain discussing DNR forest management practices. I have been doing this since 2017.
Project 100			Myself (Paul Footen) and Eric Dasso from Forest Practices gave 30 minute presentation on forest management in the Snoqualmie Watershed and took questions for about another 30 minutes from the Snoqualmie Watershed Forum folks and the general public participating in the Forum.
Project 101			
Project 102			
Project 103			
Project 104			
Project 105			
Project 106			
Project 107			
Project 108			
Project 109			
Project 110			
Project 111			
Project 112			

	Project Name	Project Objective	Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant info)
Project 113			
Project 114			
Project 115			
Project 116			
Project 117			
Project 118			
Project 119			
Project 120			
Project 121			
Project 122			
Project 123			
Project 124			
Project 125			

WE PLAN ON INVESTING IN RESEARCH - COLUMNS

IN WHAT COUNTRIES?

No answer

ANTICIPATED YEAR RESEARCH WILL BEGIN

No answer

ISSUES OF INTEREST

ISSUES OF INTEREST



No answer

SELECT THE FOLLOWING ISSUES OF INTEREST. THIS WAY WE CAN KEEP YOU INFORMED ON THESE TOPICS. (OPTIONAL)

Select the following Issues of Interest. This way we can keep you informed on these topics. (Optional)

- Climate change
- Biodiversity and Conservation
- Ecosystem services
- Water quality
- Carbon stocks
- Indigenous
- Environmental Education
- Green Jobs

SFI CONSERVATION IMPACT 1

CONSERVATION IMPACT

SFI's Conservation Impact project has a research focus on the themes of Climate Change, Biodiversity and Water. As we embark on continued improvement of these research objectives, what would bring the most value to your organization, including your audiences (i.e. regulatory, customers, shareholders, staff, supply chain, etc.)? Please help us by answering the following questions:

Regarding the Conservation Impact project's Climate Change focus, what is the most important type of work SFI could pursue in the future to best meet your needs? (Check up to three responses)

Forest carbon accounting from a regional or landscape perspective
Carbon-related best management practices
Role of SFI certification to carbon outcomes

SFI CONSERVATION IMAGE 2

Regarding the Conservation Impact project's Biodiversity focus what is the most important type of work SFI could pursue in the future to best meet your needs? (Check up to three responses)

Role of SFI certification to outcomes relative to Threatened and Endangered species (American context) and/or Species at Risk (Canadian context)
Metrics to quantify biodiversity on SFI certified forestlands, and areas affected by SFI Fiber Sourcing
Quantifying contributions of SFI certified forestlands to landscape scale biodiversity

SFI CONSERVATION IMPACT 3

Regarding the Conservation Impact project's Water focus what is the most important type of work SFI could pursue in the future to best meet your needs? (Check up to three responses)

Role of SFI certified forestlands to aquatic biodiversity specific to Threatened and Endangered (American context) and/or Species at Risk (Canadian context) (e.g. status and impact to species at risk)
Quantifying contributions of riparian SFI certified forestlands
New or innovative Water-related Best Management Practices (BMPs)

FOREST TREE BIOTECHNOLOGY (ANSWER THE FOLLOWING ABOUT YOUR ORGANIZATION)

FOREST TREE BIOTECHNOLOGY (ANSWER THE FOLLOWING ABOUT YOUR ORGANIZATION)

No answer

WE CURRENTLY INVEST IN RESEARCH WITH GENETICALLY ENGINEERED TREES VIA FOREST TREE BIOTECHNOLOGY.

We currently invest in research with Genetically Engineered trees via forest tree biotechnology.

No

WE CURRENTLY INVEST IN RESEARCH - COLUMNS

IN WHAT COUNTRIES?

No answer

**% OF YOUR CURRENT SUPPLY INTO US
AND CANADIAN MILLS FROM FOREST
TREE BIOTECHNOLOGY**

No answer

**WE HAVE LEGAL COMMERCIAL PLANTINGS OF GENETICALLY
ENGINEERED TREES VIA FOREST TREE BIOTECHNOLOGY
THAT WILL BE AVAILABLE AS FUTURE MARKETABLE
PRODUCTS.**

We have legal commercial plantings of Genetically Engineered trees via forest tree biotechnology that will be available as future marketable products.

No

WE HAVE COMMERCIAL - COLUMNS

IN WHAT COUNTRIES?

No answer

ANTICIPATED YEAR OF HARVEST

No answer

**ANTICIPATED % OF TOTAL HARVEST
WILL BE OF FIBER DERIVED FROM
FOREST TREE BIOTECHNOLOGY IN 5
YEARS?**

No answer

**WE PLAN ON INVESTING IN RESEARCH WITH GENETICALLY
ENGINEERED TREES VIA FOREST TREE BIOTECHNOLOGY.**

We plan on investing in research with Genetically Engineered trees via forest tree biotechnology.

No

**PLEASE USE THIS SPACE FOR ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
TO SFI**

Please use this space for any additional comments to SFI:

**CERTIFICATES AND OPERATIONS HEADER - MARKETPLACE
SURVEY**