

Timber, Fish, & Wildlife Policy Committee
Crosswalk of Board Motions to AMPA and Policy Committee

v. 6-26-15

The following provides the Forest Practices Board’s motions from February 2014 with the status of the action or deliverable that the Adaptive Management Program has undertaken.

I [Forest Practices Board Chair] move the Forest Practices Board initiate actions to remedy the Type F water concerns outlined in the majority and minority recommendations of the TFW Policy Committee caucuses by obtaining additional information and directing additional work by Policy. These steps are essential for the Board to consider making a final determination of the appropriate approach to take in the development of a permanent water typing rule.

Board Motion Language	Policy Action/Deliverable Status
<p>1. Policy is directed to complete recommendations for options on a permanent water typing rule, beginning with two tasks to be completed and reported to the Board at the May, 2014 meeting:</p> <p>a. Development of “best practices” recommendations regarding protocol survey electrofishing, including an evaluation of relevant literature, minimizing potential site-specific impacts to Incidental Take Permit covered species, and options for reducing the overall extent of the surveys’ use.</p>	<p>AMPA developing the electrofishing literature review outline (presented to Policy at May, June, and July meetings; Policy provided AMPA with edits at May and June meetings)</p>
<p>1.b. An evaluation of the current rule process to identify off-channel habitat (OCH) under the interim water typing rule, including recommended clarifications in field implementation guidance, or rule language. The evaluation must be based, in part, on field review of approved FPAs and WTMFs.</p>	<p>The Policy Committee has taken several actions to accomplish this:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Field tours on westside and eastside to see OCH protection in practice; • Review of current rule language and Board Manual guidance relating to OCH (to be used as a standard moving forward); • DNR has developed a proposal review packet to move OCH discussions into a formal procedure with timelines.
<p>2. AMPA to scope and initiate a pilot project to re-run the existing hydrologic model using LiDAR data, including at least two watersheds (west and east). Objectives include:</p> <p>a. Develop quantitative information about the “footprint” of the interim rule;</p>	<p>AMPA is working on this, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selected two basins (east and west) for testing, • Reviewed existing data, and • Working with team to develop scope of work (July).

(over)

Board Motion Language	Policy Action/Deliverable Status
2.b. Compare model-based water type designations to on-the-ground FPAs and WTMFs;	This effort is partially being accomplished for pilot LiDAR test basins, but could be expanded following pilot project.
2.c. Investigate additional model utility, such as detection of OCH, ability to predict physicals and assess footprint effects from using different physicals;	This work will follow up model testing, but would be applied in same basins used in pilot LiDAR project.
2.d. Provide information that can inform the Board’s basic administrative choices among “map-as-rule” vs. “guidance map with field adjustments”.	This step will follow electrofishing literature review. Will need to convene group of practitioners and scientists to make a recommendation to the Policy Committee.