CC1-17

Comment Cards from October 10, 2002
PDEIS Public Meeting
Bloedel-Donovan Park, Bellingham

As a local roadbuilder and familiar to the issues and local forest, I see Alternative 1 as a good choice for Lake Whatcom, the local economy and the DNR.

*****

Everyone wants you to leave the forest alone. Don't butcher the watershed!

*****

I fully support our logging industry. I do not feel logging will effect the watershed. I am for Alternative 1 (one).

*****

Questions??
How can this be ligtamate? Whe it really dose not represent a good over view of the residents in and around the watershed?
Notice to the general public was??
The memorandum sent to me and others dates Sept. 13, 02 is far from showing what the alternatives are compaired to the charts by the wall.
Also, we observed several persons filling out more than one of these cards. Is this encouraged and ok?

*****

I want to drink CLEAN UNCONTAMINATED WATER.
Vote 4 and 5.
NO PESTICIDES
NO INSECTICIDES
NO LOGGING IN OUR DRINKING WATER PLEASE

*****

"Hard" copies (printed) of the PDEIS have not been available at the Sedro Woolley DNR office. SEPA file # 02-091300 (9/13/02) states that copies are available at that office, yet they have not been. Therefore, SEPA has not been adequately complied with, and the 45 day comment period needs to be restarted. Comment submitted by: Steve Reed, PO Box 29292, Bellingham, WA 98228-1292
(Note: respond in summary, highlight three contacts, including dates, made to interested parties)

*****

CC 1-17

I would rather drink clean water and have fish to eat that is healthy than have insecticides and pesticides and logging occurring in the forest.
Some disease and insects are natural and extremely important for wildlife.
I would vote for Alt 4 or/and 5 if there was a vote.

*****

For a relatively minor amount of monetary return DNR is willing to potentially compromise the safety and water quality for the public residing in the Lake Whatcom watershed. Alternative #5 is the only logical alternative to present to the Board of Natural Resources.
Dave H. Scott, 348 Sudden Valley, Bellingham 98220, 360-671-3409

*****

Since Lake Whatcom is our drinking water reservoir – NO MORE LOGGING! in our watershed.
Protect maximum environment.
Other WA state cities have totally protected watersheds around their drinking water reservoirs.

*****

This is a huge state. Go to a place that doesn't effect our water supply.

*****

Why is it always about the $$ -- it's about time you consider the lifespan of old growth, honor it, worship it, admire it and allow future generations to do the same. Write in laws that will always and forever protect the lives of these trees, and its future admirers, and welcome nature lovers.

*****

I would only consider option 4 or 5. If 5 is from comments from the public, who own the land, this is the one that should be passed.
Norman Lewis

*****

Water quality is paramount – since this is our watershed. Therefore Alternatives four and five are the only two that can be used. If DNR really needs to clearcut they can do it away from our water.

*****

CC1-17

Alternative 5 is the choice that protects Lake Whatcom watershed and I appreciate seeing the DNR and local citizens working together. I do not want any logging in the Lake Whatcom watershed.
Do not sell public lands to private owners.
Laurie Dodson Glenn
360-715-9162

*****

Alternative 5 – protect our water, air and natural resources as much as possible. Brendi Sample (?)

*****

This is the third meeting that the public has told you NO CLEAR CUTTING. Why can't you understand that?

*****

The best and only alternative acceptable to me as a resident of the watershed and user of the Lake is #5.