

May 2015	June 2015	July 2015	August 2015	September 2015	October 2015
<p><b>May Policy meeting</b> Room R0A-36</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review legislative appropriations; revise MPS if necessary</li> <li>Type F discussions, prep for report to Board</li> <li>Update from Ecology on draft Nonpoint Source Plan</li> </ul>	<p><b>June Policy meeting</b> Room R1S-16/17</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update from RSAG on extensive monitoring (?)</li> <li>Tweaks to biennial budget, if necessary</li> <li>Tailed Frog Report Package</li> <li>Continued discussion on Type F</li> <li>Type N (?)</li> <li>LEAN process report (?)</li> <li>Amphibian Buffer Shade Integrity Study (?)</li> <li>Westside Type F Buffer Effectiveness Study – review/decide on best available science and recommended approach report (?)</li> </ul>	<p><b>July Policy meeting</b> Room R1S-16/17</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update on Board Manual Section 16 revisions and unstable slopes rule language processes</li> </ul>	<p><b>August Policy meeting</b> Room R0A-32</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complete FPA review process for unstable slopes on Board Manual Section 16</li> </ul>	<p><b>September Policy meeting</b> Room R1S-16/17</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Finalize Type F package to the Board</li> </ul>	<p><b>October Policy meeting</b> Room R1S-16/17</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Finalize Type F package to the Board</li> </ul>
<p><b>Additional meetings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Board Manual Section 16 stakeholder meetings</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional meetings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Board Manual Section 16 stakeholder meetings</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional meetings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional meetings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional meetings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional meetings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<p><b>Forest Practices Board mtg</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review/approve CMER workplan and biennial budget for 15-17</li> <li>Review progress on Type F</li> </ul>			<p><b>Forest Practices Board mtg</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>		

November 2015	December 2015	January 2016	February 2016	March 2016	April 2016
<b>November Policy meeting</b> Room R0A-36 •	<b>December Policy meeting</b> Room R1S-16/17 • Legislative updates	<b>January Policy meeting</b> • 2015 Policy Committee Accomplishments (or Activities) • Legislative updates	<b>February Policy meeting</b> • Legislative updates	<b>March Policy meeting</b> •	<b>April Policy meeting</b> •
<b>Additional meetings:</b> •	<b>Additional meetings:</b> •	<b>Additional meetings:</b> •	<b>Additional meetings:</b> •	<b>Additional meetings:</b> •	<b>Additional meetings:</b> •
<b>Forest Practices Board mtg</b> • Present Type F			<b>Forest Practices Board mtg</b> •		

**Parking Lot Topics:**

- CMER streamlining
  - How to prevent science/policy decision split (consider changes from CMER; hear from Nancy Sturhan about protocols document, organization, etc.)
  - LEAN process – consider how to increase efficiency and speed up timeline
  - Long-term CMER strategy: CMER priorities and 2-year budget/workplan (for 2015-17 biennium)
  - Increase CMER’s capacity and/or efficiency to do more projects, especially if the AMP gets more money through another funding source in the future
- CMZ Effectiveness
- Policy’s procedure for when to produce a majority/minority report instead of seeking 100% consensus
- Clarify performance targets, understanding that changes to Schedule L-1 affect the HCP
- Consider adding fish experts to the CMER table, especially for the Fish Passage Rule Group
- Does the Wetlands Protection Rule Group research help answer potential effects to altering groundwater flow and temperature?
- Evaluate types of monitoring and their effectiveness
- How to make a stronger coalition for environmental issues that includes diverse stakeholder groups (like the Washington Watershed Restoration Initiative)
- Look at Westside Type F Effectiveness research questions and see how they fit into the larger Rule Group strategy
- Discuss the volatility of the B&O excise surcharge and how to adjust/plan for that (discussion between CMER, Policy, and DNR administration)
- How to expand the use of the information collected in CMER studies?
- How to fund CMER studies that must go through ISPR, which is a costly and time-consuming process.
- Further discussions on options and priorities for fish passage effectiveness.