

**Timber/Fish/Wildlife Cultural Resources Roundtable Meeting
March 20, 2012**

**Host: Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
1063 South Capital Way, Suite 106
Olympia, WA 98501
Phone: (360) 586-3065**

Directions: <http://www.dahp.wa.gov/about-us/office%20locator>

Attendees:

Jeffrey Thomas, Co-Chair	Puyallup Tribe
Peter Heide, Co-Chair	Washington Forest Protection Association
Stephenie Kramer	Dept. of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
Lee Stilson	Dept. of Natural Resources - Lands
David Powell	Yakama Nation
Justine James	Quinault Indian Nation
Sherri Felix	Dept. of Natural Resources - Regulatory
LaLena Amiotte	Dept. of Natural Resources – Acting Tribal Relations Manager
Jesse Narog	Hancock Forest Management
Gideon Cauffman	Jamestown S’Klallam Tribe

FINAL NOTES

1. Introductions

Everyone introduced their selves.

2. Agenda

Jeff Thomas provided an agenda prior to the meeting: 1) Introductions, 2) Agenda review, 3) Approve February meeting notes, 4) Co-Chair remarks, 6) Action Item list, 7) Forest Practices Board update, 8) CRPMP Guidance documents, 9) Roundtable Letterhead/Logo, 10) CRPMP Assessment methodology and 12) Next meeting’s agenda items. It was decided that the Forest Practices Board did not need to be discussed this month but should be on the agenda next month to finalize the report to the Board. Lee and Jesse both had topics to bring up so the Board agenda item was replaced with their topics: WAC 222-16-050 issue and WAC 222-20-120 question. The agenda was accepted with the modification.

3. Meeting Notes for February 21, 2012

Pete and Sherri made clarifying edits to the February Notes. The February Notes were approved with the edits.

4. Co-Chair Remarks

Jeff said he had invited the speakers from the Cultural Resources Presentations last November because they are presumably the users of the CRPMP. Rich Wood and Jim Freed have already attended meetings and currently, no one else has confirmed.

5. Action Item List

Pete said there are no significant changes to the Action Item List so he did not bring copies. The Roundtable will review the List at the April 17th meeting to prepare the report to the Board at their May 8th meeting.

**Timber/Fish/Wildlife Cultural Resources Roundtable Meeting
March 20, 2012**

6. WAC 222-16-050 (1)(f) issue

Lee brought a situation he has encountered for a forest practices application (FPA) that contains pilings from a railroad grade. Lee documented the pilings in the course of conducting an archaeological survey and filed the site form with the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). DNR-Lands would like the FPA to be classified a III rather than a IV. WAC 222-16-050(1)(f)(iv)(B) provides for a class III when there is a management plan approved by DAHP and the affected tribe. In this location there are seven affected tribes. Six of seven tribes have agreed to the management plan. The seventh tribe has not responded. Unless the seventh tribe agrees to the plan, DNR-regulatory has no option but to classify the FPA as a IV. The Roundtable agreed language similar to the new language just adopted for WAC 222-20-120 might be a solution for a landowner to document their effort to contact a tribe without a response. The Roundtable agreed DNR-regulatory could consider the practicality of proposing similar language to resolve the problem.

7. WAC 222-20-120 question

Jesse attended a meeting with other timber industry participants and wanted to relay a question that came up concerning implementation of WAC 222-20-120. What happens if a tribe waits until day 29 of the 30-day review period to say they want to meet on a forest practice application in a week or two? DNR-regulatory is still obligated to make a decision at 30 days. If the meeting has not occurred then DNR-regulatory would be required to disapprove the application. There was no example of this happening. The situation would be the same with the previous WAC language. Pete pointed out that the Roundtable agreed with dAVE Burlingame that we should not address hypothetical issues but look for solutions to real problems.

8. CRPMP Guidance Documents

Pete had hoped the Roundtable would have guidance documents in place to assist landowners with implementation of WAC 222-20-120 by the time it went into effect. Progress has been made but we are not done. DNR-regulatory is preparing guidance for regions to implement the new rule language.

Justine asked Lee to conduct a presentation on site types found in the forest to Quinault personnel. This might be the opportunity to film the presentation and break it into 8 to 10 minute videos that can be accessed from the web site. Lee will work with Justine to set up a time in May and let Pete know so he can arrange with the video producers.

9. Roundtable Logo

Jeff met with the artist. He is easy-going and happy to produce the image. He would like to have flexibility to modify the eagle and the salmon. He will provide a release to use the image. Jeff is interested in compensating him for his time. He needs a copy of the background image. Pete will re-send the image to Jeff to pass on to the artist. Pete will send a note to the Policy group about the modification to give them the opportunity to participate or object. David said since we are working on a Roundtable logo we can create whatever we want and offer it to the larger group.

10. CRPMP Assessment Methodology

David and Pete both provided draft questions for a questionnaire to gather information on the effectiveness of the CRPMP. The same questions should be asked of Tribes and landowners. Jeff said the Small Forest Landowner committee has a questionnaire out concerning the long-term FPA. We might get ideas from their questions. Pete said we need to provide choices so we can compile a range of information. We need to know who they are and whom they

**Timber/Fish/Wildlife Cultural Resources Roundtable Meeting
March 20, 2012**

represent. The DNR-regulatory can tell us how many meetings have been required under WAC 222-20-120. DAHP can tell us how many protection plans have been approved associated with FPAs and how many sites have been recorded on forested lands. Justine wondered who will be getting the questionnaire and have they worked with the CRPMP. David suggested sending it to everyone who receives the Notes. We can send it to DAHP's tribal contacts list, WFPA's members, NWIFC lists, and ask WFFA. SurveyMonkey is free survey internet software that can operate the survey. Either DNR-regulatory or DAHP could set it up. We need responses by the June meeting so we have time to compile the data. Pete will rework the questions based on the discussions and send them to David to review. After David makes any edits or additions, he will send them to the active Roundtable members no later than April 10th.

11. Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for: **April 17, 2012 at DAHP in Olympia from 9 a.m. – 2 p.m.** The agenda will include: 1) Introductions 2) Approve agenda, 3) Approve Meeting Notes for March, 4) Co-chair remarks, 5) Action Item List, 6) Forest Practices Board report, 7) Guidance documents, 8) Letterhead and Logo, 9) Survey for CRPMP Assessment and 10) Agenda for May meeting.

Note:

The Timber/Fish/Wildlife Cultural Resources Roundtable meets on the third Tuesday of every month at the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation. Scheduled meetings through 2012 are 4/17, 5/15, 6/19, 7/17, 8/21, 9/18, 10/16, 11/20, and 12/18.