### **CMER MEETING AGENDA**

December 13, 2016 • 9:00 AM - 4:00 PM

# Location: DNR/DOC Compound /Washington State Light Industrial Park 801 88<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Tumwater, WA 98512

## \*Action Items

Time	Topic	Lead
	Introductions	All
9:00 am -	Agenda – Review for updates	Hooks
9:15 am	Review Assignments	Hooks
	SCIENCE SESSION:	
0.45 am	Wetland Mapping Tool – presentation on Phase 1	Luke Rogers/Amy Yahnke
9:15 am -	Presentation on Phase I     Overview of subsequent phases with a description including budget and	Luke Rogers Johnson/Kay/Bell
10:15 am	schedule.	Johnson/Ray/Dell
	3) *Wetlands Mapping Tool – request for funding for completion of Phase I of project	Johnson/Kay
	Roads TWIG - Study Design Presentation & new members update	Dieu/Danehy
	DECISIONS:	
	CMER	
	◆ *Meeting Minutes Approval – November 2016 meeting minutes	Shramek
		Shramek
	SAGE	
	◆ *Fire Salvage Literature Review – request for funding	Johnson
	UPSAG	
		Johnson
	*Request for Policy Guidance – approval  2017 World Plant as a bank of the state o	
	2017 Work Plan – schedule update; develop a process for prioritization of projects	Gibbs
	TONG TONGW and approval	
10:15 am -	<u>UPDATES:</u>	
4:00 pm	Report from Policy – December 1 and 2 meetings	Berge
	CMER	
	2017 CMER Science Conference – discussion of topics and dates	Co-Chairs
	October 25 Forest Chemicals Science Session – status of presentation	Shramek/Knoth
	<ul> <li>◆ Protocol and Standards Manual Chapter 7 – update</li> <li>◆ Lean Process Recommendations and Analyses – update</li> </ul>	Roorbach
	<ul> <li>Lean Process Recommendations and Analyses – update</li> <li>Budget and Project Status Update of 2015-17 Biennium</li> </ul>	Haemmerle Hooks
	Process for Bringing New Projects Forward	Hooks
	T 100033 for Dringing New 110 Jours 1 Orward	Tions
	SAGS	
	ISAG - update	Walter
	♦ RSAG	Murray
	> Hardwood Conversion	
	> Remote Sensing	
	◆ LWAG  ➤ Type N Experimental Buffer Treatment Study – Basalt Lithologies	Haemmerle
	(Hard Rock) – report update	Haemmene
	TWIGs	
	♦ Eastern Washington Type N Riparian Effectiveness Project (study plan)- ISPR	
	update	Haemmerle
	◆ Unstable Slopes Criteria (delivery date of BAS document) New TWIG members	Stewart/Haemmerle
	Type F Riparian Prescriptions (study plan deliverable date)	Schuett-Hames/Haemmerle
	◆ Forested Wetlands TWIG (Policy update)	Beckett/Haemmerle
	Public Comment Period	
	Recap of Assignments/Decisions	Shramek

CMER 2017 Meeting Dates: January 24, February 28, March 28, April 25, May 23, June 27, July 25, August 22, September 26, October 24, November 14, and December 12.

### A. General CMER Ground Rules

- **1.** Each of the participants affirmed by the Board to CMER agree to these ground rules, which were developed collectively by CMER to ensure that CMER produces credible scientific results that have a broad base of support. These ground rules are specific to CMER and do not apply to any other portion of the Adaptive Management Program.
- **2.** CMER core values are predicated upon the agreement of each CMER participant that adaptive management is based upon sound science. It is the responsibility of every participant to follow sound scientific principles and procedures.
- **3.** Participants will also adhere to the purpose of the Adaptive Management Program:
- ... to provide science-based recommendations and technical information to assist the board in determining if and when it is necessary or advisable to adjust rules and guidance for aquatic resources to achieve resource goals and objectives. The goal of the program is to affect change when it is necessary or advisable to adjust rules and guidance to achieve the goals of the forests and fish report or other goals identified by the board. (WAC 222-12-045(1)).
- **4.** Individual Policy positions are not the basis for CMER decisions, otherwise the credibility of CMER research can be questioned, resulting in CMER having failed in its function of providing accountable results to the Adaptive Management Program.

## **B. Specific CMER Ground Rules**

- **1.** CMER participants will engage in actions that promote productive meetings and will encourage the active participation of each individual member. Examples of these actions are:
- a. Speak to educate, listen to understand.
- b. Pursue win/win solutions.
- c. State motivations and justifications clearly. Discuss issues openly with all concerns on the table. Avoid hidden agendas.
- d. Ensure that each individual has a chance to be heard.
- e. Help others move tangent issues to appropriate venues by scheduling a time to discuss these issues later.
- f. Start and stop meetings on time.
- g. Take side conversations outside—listen respectfully.
- h. Define clear outcomes for each conversation and appoint a conversation manager.
- i. Be trusting and trustworthy.
- j. Acknowledge and appreciate the contributions of others, even when you disagree.
- **2.** CMER participants agree to spend the time in preparation for meetings so that their participation is both meaningful and relevant and to refrain from participation when they are unprepared.
- **3.** CMER participants agree to participate in the Adaptive Management Program's scientific dispute resolution process when consensus cannot be reached and to make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute.
- **4.** CMER participants recognize that information and results are preliminary until the final report is approved by CMER. Products must be clearly labeled and presented as DRAFT until approved by CMER as a final product.
- **5.** At no time shall any potential contractor for a project be involved in the drafting of an RFP, RFQ or SOW or in the selection of a contractor for that specific project.